

US-FT/4-98
 hep-th/9809052
 September 1998

TAU-FUNCTIONS GENERATING THE CONSERVATION LAWS FOR GENERALIZED INTEGRABLE HIERARCHIES OF KdV AND AFFINE-TODA TYPE

J. LUIS MIRAMONTES

*Departamento de Física de Partículas,
 Facultad de Física,
 Universidad de Santiago,
 E-15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain*
 e-mail: miramont@fpaxpl.usc.es

ABSTRACT

For a class of generalized integrable hierarchies associated with affine (twisted or untwisted) Kac-Moody algebras, an explicit representation of their local conserved densities by means of a single scalar tau-function is deduced. This tau-function acts as a partition function for the conserved densities, which fits its potential interpretation as the effective action of some quantum system. The class consists of multi-component generalizations of the Drinfel'd-Sokolov and the two-dimensional affine Toda lattice hierarchies. The relationship between the former and the approach of Feigin, Frenkel and Enriquez to soliton equations of KdV and mKdV type is also discussed. These results considerably simplify the calculation of the conserved charges carried by the soliton solutions to the equations of the hierarchy, which is important to establish their interpretation as particles. By way of illustration, we calculate the charges carried by a set of constrained KP solitons recently constructed.

PACS: 11.10.Lm; 11.27.+d; 11.30.-j; 11.25.Hf

MSC: 35Q53; 35Q55; 35Q51; 35Q58; 58F07

Keywords: Integrable Hierarchies; Tau-functions; Solitons; Generalized KdV equations; Non-abelian affine Toda equations; Affine Kac-Moody algebras

September 1998

1. Introduction

The purpose of this article is to show that the local conserved densities of a large class of integrable hierarchies of zero-curvature equations are given by the second order partial derivatives of a single (complex) scalar tau-function. In other words, this tau-function provides a generating function for the infinite conserved densities of the hierarchy, which matches the interpretation of tau-functions as the effective actions of certain quantum systems where the conserved densities become correlation functions [1,2,3]. Among the hierarchies included in this class, there are (multi-component) generalizations of the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV), the modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV), the non-linear Schrödinger (NLS), the constrained Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (cKP), and the affine Toda equations associated with affine (twisted or untwisted) Kac-Moody algebras. Our results generalize the well known relation between conserved quantities and tau-functions in the context of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy and its reductions of KdV type, whose important role in the matrix model approach to two-dimensional quantum and topological gravity is well known [2].

Recall the definition of the KP hierarchy by means of a pseudo-differential scalar Lax operator $L = \partial + u_0 \partial^{-1} + u_1 \partial^{-2} + \dots$. Its conserved densities $J_k = \text{res}(L^k)$ are related to the single tau-function of the hierarchy by means of $J_k = \partial^2 \ln \tau / \partial x_1 \partial x_k$ [4]. The extension of this relationship to other integrable hierarchies is far from obvious. Actually, in contrast with the KP hierarchy, the number of tau-functions required to reconstruct the variables of the zero-curvature formalism is often larger than one, and it is not *a priori* clear if any of them plays a more fundamental role than the others. This already occurs in the hierarchies associated with $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$. For example, although the KdV hierarchy can be described by a single tau-function related to the original variable by the celebrated formula $u = 2\partial_x^2 \ln \tau$, the mKdV hierarchy already requires two tau-functions, and the change of variables is $q = \partial \ln \tau_0 / \tau_1$. Similarly, the complexified version of the NLS equation, known as the AKNS equations, involves three tau-functions. Moreover, the number of tau-functions usually increases with the size of the algebra the hierarchy is associated with. A well known example of this is provided by the two-dimensional affine Toda lattice associated with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of rank $r_{\mathfrak{g}}$, which needs $r_{\mathfrak{g}} + 1$ tau-functions attached to the vertices of the extended Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Out of the different methods used to investigate integrable hierarchies of equations, the

tau-function approach has proved to be particularly useful concerning their applications in particle physics and string theory. This method started with the work of Hirota as a change of variables designed to simplify the direct construction of various types of solutions to the equations of the hierarchy; especially, the multi-soliton solutions [5]. Far from being just a new solution method, the tau-function approach uncovered a deep underlying structure of the integrable hierarchies where affine Kac-Moody algebras (central extensions of loop algebras) play a central role. This connection was already apparent in the pioneering work of the Kyoto school [6], and made even clearer by many authors like Segal and Wilson [7], Wilson [8], and Kac and Wakimoto [9]. According to their work, the tau-function formalism concerns a particular class of solutions specified by the elements of an infinite manifold associated with a Kac-Moody group (centrally extended loop group). For example, in the particular case of the KdV equation, the manifold is a Grassmannian and the class includes the multi-soliton solutions and the algebro-geometric solutions of Krichever [7]. A systematic description of the relation between the tau-functions and the variables of the zero-curvature formalism is provided by the work of Wilson [8]. There, the Kac-Moody group is used to induce a group of transformations, known as ‘dressing transformations’, acting on the space of solutions. Then, the relevant class of solutions is generated by the action of this group on some trivial ‘vacua’. Wilson’s ideas have been recently used in [10] to develop the tau-function formalism for a large class of integrable hierarchies of zero-curvature equations characterized by means of their vacuum solutions. Actually, the results of this last reference apply to the hierarchies that will be considered in this article.

The tau-function or Hirota method has been used to construct the soliton solutions to a large number of equations and, in particular, to the generalizations of the sine-Gordon equation: the equations-of-motion of the abelian and non-abelian affine Toda field theories [11,12]. They are relevant to particle physics mainly because of two reasons. First, as two-dimensional models where the spectrum contains not only perturbative particles but also solitons (*e.g.*, kinks, monopoles, dyons, ...). Second, because some of them describe massive integrable perturbations of two-dimensional conformal field theories.

Our results show that all the information about the soliton solutions is encoded in a single (complex) scalar tau-function and, in particular, that the value of the conserved charges they carry (*e.g.*, their energy and momentum) is given by the asymptotic behaviour of this function through expressions like

$$Q_n^\pm = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_\pm J_\pm^{(\pm 1)}[\pm n, \mathbf{m}] = -\frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{nN_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_{\pm n} \ln \tau_{\mathbf{m}}^T(h) \Big|_{x_\pm=-\infty}^{x_\pm=+\infty}$$

(see eq. (6.24)). This is a generalization of the well known properties of the energy-momentum tensor of the affine Toda equations restricted to the, so called, ‘solitonic spe-

cialization’ of their general solution originally proposed by Olive *et al.* [13,14]. This class of solutions is conjectured to include the multi-soliton solutions, which has been extensively checked for the A_n theories [15]. In the abelian affine Toda theories, this conjecture is supported by the explicit evaluation of the energy-momentum tensor for the general solution. It splits into two parts: a ‘radiation’ piece that vanishes for solutions describing solitons and an ‘improvement’ which, after integration, yields the energy and momentum carried by the solitons as surface terms [13]. In the non-abelian affine Toda theories, the explicit evaluation of the energy-momentum tensor has not been actually carried out, and the split is usually justified by means of their relation with an extension of the theory that exhibits conformal symmetry [12,16]. Restricted to the (abelian and non-abelian) affine Toda equations, the class of solutions that we will consider coincides with the solitonic specialization. Hence, our results provide an alternative proof that the energy-momentum tensor carried by these solutions is actually given by an improvement term and, moreover, they also show that the same is true for all the other conserved densities.

The construction of soliton solutions in two-dimensional field theories is not the only way in which tau-functions arise in the context of particle physics. Nowadays, there is an increasing number of quantum systems whose effective action turns out to be the tau-function of some classical integrable system [1]. A renowned example of this is provided by two-dimensional quantum and topological gravity (and its coupling to topological matter), whose partition function is a tau-function of the KdV hierarchy (and its generalizations) [2]. Another important example is given by the exact solution of $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions constructed by Seiberg and Witten. There, the prepotential of the low-energy effective action is found to be related with the tau-functions of the one-dimensional periodic Toda lattice hierarchy [3], which also play an important role in the topologically twisted version of these theories: namely, Donaldson theory [17]. It is worth noticing that the latter example concerns a four-dimensional quantum field theory, which illustrates that even though the relevant classical integrable hierarchies of equations are formulated in one or two dimensions, their applications are not necessarily restricted to low-dimensional quantum systems. In this respect, our results identify a subset of tau-functions that operate as generating functions for the conserved densities of the hierarchy in the sense that the latter are given by the partial derivatives of the former:

$$J^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \partial_n \ln \tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\text{DS}}(h)$$

(see eq. (4.14) and (5.6)). This looks like the classical counterpart of their interpretation as the partition function of some quantum system whose coupling constants would be provided by the times of the hierarchy.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the two types of integrable hierarchies of zero-curvature equations that will be considered. The first corresponds to the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies constructed in [18,19,20], which, in particular, provide multi-component generalizations of the KdV, mKdV, NLS, and cKP equations. The second is a new non-abelian generalization of the two-dimensional affine Toda lattice hierarchy that can be viewed as the result of coupling two generalized mKdV hierarchies: the resulting hierarchies always include a non-abelian affine Toda (NAAT) equation. They exhibit an infinite number of local conserved densities whose precise definition by means of the Drinfel'd-Sokolov procedure is discussed in Section 3. The core of the article is Section 4 where we specify the class of solutions and calculate the corresponding conserved densities. The solutions are singled out by requiring that all the conjugations that arise in the Drinfel'd-Sokolov approach make sense in the Kac-Moody group. They turn out to be generated by the action of the group of dressing transformations, in the manner described by Wilson, on the vacuum solutions of the hierarchies. Moreover, they can also be viewed as a generalization of both the class of solutions to the KdV equation considered by Segal and Wilson, and the solitonic specialization of the general solution to affine Toda equations proposed by Olive *et al.* In the particular case of the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies, we also show that their restriction to these solutions fits into the approach of Feigin, Frenkel and Enriquez to soliton equations of KdV and mKdV type [21]. In Section 5, we discuss the relations between different integrable hierarchies implied by the results of the previous section. They generalize the well known connection between the conserved quantities of the KdV, mKdV, and sine-Gordon equations originally pointed out by Chodos [22]. For the purpose of illustration, in Section 6 we specialize our results to the original Drinfel'd-Sokolov KdV hierarchies, to the non-abelian affine Toda equations, and to the calculation of the charges carried by the solitons of the cKP hierarchy recently constructed by Aratyn *et al.* [23]. Our conventions and some relevant properties of Kac-Moody algebras are collected in the appendix.

2. The zero-curvature hierarchies

We will consider two types of integrable systems. The first one corresponds to the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies constructed in [18,19,20], which includes most of the generalizations of the original Drinfel'd-Sokolov construction [24] available in the literature. In order to illustrate the extent of this class, let us recall their interpretation by

means of pseudo-differential operators, which has been investigated independently by Fehér *et al.* [25] and Aratyn *et al.* [26]. Their results show that some of these hierarchies provide matrix generalizations of both the standard Gelfand-Dickey hierarchies and the constrained KP hierarchy. Moreover, the construction of [18,19] can be generalized once more in a quite natural way to interpret certain non-standard Gelfand-Dickey hierarchies [27]. Finally, the link between the hierarchies of [18,19] and the Adler-Kostant-Symes (AKS) construction has been explained in [25,28], where it is shown that the former corresponds to the ‘nice reductions’ of the AKS system that exhibit local monodromy invariants.

The second type of integrable systems to be considered is, as far as we know, new. It consists of hierarchies that include a generalized non-abelian affine Toda equation together with a generalized mKdV equation. We will call them Toda-mKdV hierarchies, and they can be viewed as the result of coupling two different mKdV hierarchies. Alternatively, they can also be described as non-abelian generalizations of the usual two-dimensional Toda lattice hierarchy [29].

All these equations admit a unified group theoretical description where they are associated with the different Heisenberg subalgebras $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ of a (twisted or untwisted) affine Kac-Moody algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$ (our conventions concerning Kac-Moody algebras are collected in the appendix). For a particular hierarchy, there will be a flow associated with each element b_j in a properly defined subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$. These flows will be defined by Lax operators of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_j = \partial_j - A_j \quad (2.1)$$

where $\partial_j = \partial/\partial t_j$. Then, the integrable hierarchy of equations consists of a set of zero-curvature equations

$$[\mathcal{L}_j, \mathcal{L}_k] = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

which can also be viewed as the integrability conditions of an associated linear problem

$$(\partial_j - A_j) \Psi = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

where Ψ is the, so called, ‘Baker-Akhiezer’ or wave function.

An important common feature of all these hierarchies is that they admit trivial ‘vacuum solutions’ of the form [10]

$$A_j^{(\text{vac})} = b_j + \frac{1}{2} (|j| - j) t_{-j} K \quad (2.4)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\Psi^{(\text{vac})} = \exp \left[\sum_{j \geq 0} t_j b_j \right] \exp \left[\sum_{j > 0} t_{-j} b_{-j} \right]. \quad (2.5)$$

Actually, as will be explained in Section 4, most of our results will be restricted to the special class of solutions generated from these trivial ones through the action of the group of dressing transformations [10]. As it is well known, it is in this context where a systematic description of τ -functions can be given [8,9].

2.1 Generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies

In this first class, a particular hierarchy will be specified by a constant element $\Lambda = b_i \in \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ of positive grade $i > 0$, and an additional gradation $\mathbf{s}$ such that $\mathbf{s} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$ with respect to the partial ordering defined in the appendix (Section A.1). This class contains generalizations of the mKdV and KdV hierarchies, which are recovered when $\mathbf{s}$ is maximal ($\mathbf{s} \simeq \mathbf{s}'$) and minimal ($s_j \neq 0$ for a single j), respectively. Otherwise, the auxiliary gradation $\mathbf{s}$ sets the ‘degree of modification’ and the hierarchy is said to be a partially modified KdV (pmKdV) hierarchy. In [18,19], the construction was undertaken in the loop algebra or Kac-Moody algebra with zero centre, while in [20,10] it was presented in a representation independent way in the full Kac-Moody algebra including the centre. However, in [20] it was implicitly assumed that the hierarchy was of ‘type-I’ (Λ regular and, hence, $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) = \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$), while the results of ref. [10] are restricted to generalized mKdV hierarchies ($\mathbf{s} \simeq \mathbf{s}'$). In contrast, in this paper we will be concerned with the most general case. Therefore, the choice of $\mathbf{s}$ and Λ will be constrained only by the condition

$$\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap P = \emptyset, \quad (2.6)$$

where P will be defined in eq. (2.18), which is needed to ensure that the equations of the hierarchy are of polynomial form (see eq. (2.19)).

Since we will need it to develop the Toda-mKdV hierarchies, we will briefly summarized the construction and main features of these hierarchies in the general case following refs. [18,19,20,10]. Consider the Lax operator

$$L = \partial_x - \Lambda - q, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\partial_x = \partial/\partial x$ and q is a function taking values on the subspace $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{s}) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{< i}(\mathbf{s}')$. The construction of the hierarchy is based on the existence of a transformation that ‘abelianizes’ the Lax operator *à la* Drinfel'd-Sokolov, *i.e.*,

$$\Phi^{-1} L \Phi = \partial_x - \Lambda - h^\Phi, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\Phi = e^y$ is a function with $y \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$, and h^Φ takes values on $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<i}(\mathbf{s}')$.¹ This transformation can be constructed because Λ is semisimple and, hence, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'$ admits the decomposition $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}' = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) + \text{Im}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$ [24,30,18]. Recall that the subalgebra $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$ is not abelian in general, and $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \text{Im}(\text{ad } \Lambda) = \mathbb{C}K$ (see the appendix, Section A.2). At this point, it is important to notice that q always has a component along the centre, say $q_c K$. Then, it is straightforward to realize that neither Φ nor $h^\Phi - q_c K$ depend on q_c .

Let $\mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda = \text{Cent}(\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda))$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ generated by all the b_j 's that commute with all the elements in $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$ up to the centre, *i.e.*,

$$\mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda = \mathbb{C}K \oplus \sum_{j \in E_\Lambda} \mathbb{C}b_j \quad \text{and} \quad [b_j, \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)] \in \mathbb{C}K \quad (2.9)$$

for all $j \in E_\Lambda$. The flows of the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchy corresponding to the data $\{\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda, \mathbf{s}\}$ are associated with the non-central graded elements of the subalgebra

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{\text{DS}} = \mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{s}'). \quad (2.10)$$

The flow corresponding to $b_j \in \widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{\text{DS}}$ is defined by means of a Lax operator $\mathcal{L}_j = \partial_j - A_j$ where

$$A_j = P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}]}(\Phi b_j \Phi^{-1}) + h_c^\Phi(j) K, \quad (2.11)$$

and $h_c^\Phi(j)$ is an, *a priori*, arbitrary complex function.

Recall eq. (2.8), and consider

$$\Phi^{-1} \mathcal{L}_j \Phi = \partial_j - b_j - h^\Phi(j) - h_c^\Phi(j) K, \quad (2.12)$$

where $h^\Phi(j) \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$ as a straightforward consequence of (2.11). Then, it is not difficult to check that $[L, \mathcal{L}_j] = 0$ implies that

$$h^\Phi(j) \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}') \quad (2.13)$$

and, moreover,

$$[\partial_x - h^\Phi, \partial_j - h^\Phi(j) - h_c^\Phi(j) K] = \frac{r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} \left(j(b_j | h^\Phi) - i(\Lambda | h^\Phi(j)) \right) K. \quad (2.14)$$

¹ By $\Phi^{-1}L\Phi$ we mean the exponentiated adjoint action defined the formal power series expansion

$$\Phi^{-1}L\Phi = \exp(-\text{ad } y)(L) = L - [y, L] + \frac{1}{2}[y, [y, L]] - \frac{1}{3!}[y, [y, [y, L]]] + \dots$$

In particular, this shows that

$$\partial_j (b_n | h^\Phi) = \partial_x (b_n | h^\Phi(j)) \quad \text{for each } b_n \in \mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}'), \quad (2.15)$$

which means that the components of $P_{<0[\mathbf{s}']}(h^\Phi)$ along $\mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda$ provide the infinite conserved densities of the hierarchy.

It will be emphasized in the next section that the choice of Φ is not unique. Actually, since they do not change the form of (2.8), it is defined modulo the transformations $\Phi \mapsto \Phi e^\eta$, where η is an arbitrary function that takes values on $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$. This justifies the notation like h^Φ and $h^\Phi(j)$. However, since $b_j \in \mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda$,

$$(\Phi e^\eta) b_j (\Phi e^\eta)^{-1} = \Phi b_j \Phi^{-1} - j \frac{r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} (b_j | \eta) K \quad (2.16)$$

and, hence, different choices of Φ just lead to different values of the arbitrary complex functions $h_c^\Phi(j)$, which will not modify the hierarchy of integrable equations. In any case, the abelianization can always be chosen such that both $\Phi = e^y$ and $h^\Phi - q_c K$ are ‘local’ functionals of the components of $\tilde{q} = q - q_c K$, simply by enforcing the condition $y \in \text{Im}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$ [18]. At the end of the day, this is the reason why these hierarchies exhibit local monodromy invariants provided by the components of $P_{<0[\mathbf{s}']}(h^\Phi)$ along $\mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda$ when Φ is chosen as indicated.

In this construction, the function q plays the role of the phase space coordinate. However, there exist symmetries corresponding to the gauge transformations

$$L \mapsto U L U^{-1}, \quad (2.17)$$

in the sense that they do not change the form of the Lax operator. In (2.17), U is a function that takes values on the group generated by the nilpotent finite dimensional subalgebra

$$P = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}'). \quad (2.18)$$

Therefore, the actual phase space of the hierarchy is the set of gauge equivalent classes, and the equations are to be thought of as a set of partial differential equations on a consistent gauge slice of q , denoted q^{GS} [24,18]. Since Λ satisfies eq. (2.6), this gauge slice can be chosen following the standard Drinfel’d-Sokolov procedure [31]. Then, if we split the component along the centre, $q^{\text{GS}} = \tilde{q}^{\text{GS}} + q_c K$, the equations of the hierarchy can be put in the form

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}}{\partial t_j} = F_j \left(\tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}, \frac{\partial \tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}}{\partial x^2}, \dots \right), \quad (2.19)$$

for some polynomial functions F_j , *i.e.*, differential polynomials in the components of $\tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}$. Notice that the evolution of $\tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}$ is independent of q_c , which explains why these hierarchies can be associated with both Kac-Moody algebras or loop algebras. In contrast, the evolution of q_c is of the form

$$\frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t_j} = G\left(\tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}, \frac{\partial \tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}}{\partial x^2}, \dots\right), \quad (2.20)$$

which shows that q_c is completely determined once a solution of (2.19) is provided. Moreover, the precise form of (2.20) depends on the value of the arbitrary functions $h_c^\Phi(j)$ and, in particular, they can be chosen such that G is also polynomial. Consequently, q_c has to be regarded not as an actual degree of freedom of the hierarchy but just as an auxiliary function.

Although $\Lambda = b_i$, x cannot be identified in general with t_i . The precise connection between both variables is provided by (2.8), which implies that

$$L = \partial_x - \Lambda - q = P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}]} \left(\Phi (\partial_x - h^\Phi) \Phi^{-1} \right) - P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}]} (\Phi \Lambda \Phi^{-1}). \quad (2.21)$$

In this paper, we will restrict ourselves to hierarchies where $\partial_x = \partial_i$, which requires to constrain the form of q such that

$$P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}']} (h^\Phi) \in \mathbb{C} K. \quad (2.22)$$

Notice that eq. (2.14) implies that

$$\partial_j P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}']} (h^\Phi) + P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}']} \left([P_{> 0[\mathbf{s}']} (h^\Phi), h^\Phi(j)] \right) \in \mathbb{C} K, \quad (2.23)$$

which shows that the constraints (2.22) are preserved by all the flows. Then, following [20], the gauge slice will be chosen as follows. Let us split the component of h^Φ along the centre, $h^\Phi = \tilde{h}^\Phi + h_c^\Phi K$, and introduce a (non-local) functional of q , say $\chi^\Phi = e^\rho$, such that

$$\partial_x - \tilde{h}^\Phi = \chi^\Phi \partial_x \chi^{\Phi^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}'). \quad (2.24)$$

This allows one to write the first term on the right-hand-side of (2.21) as $P_{0[\mathbf{s}]}[(\Phi \chi^\Phi) \partial_x (\Phi \chi^\Phi)^{-1}] - h_c^\Phi K$. Then, if $\Phi \chi^\Phi = e^v$, it has been shown in [20] that there always exists a consistent gauge slice where $P_{0[\mathbf{s}]}(v) = 0$ and, from now on, we will assume that it has been chosen. In other words, taking into account (2.22) and the non-uniqueness of Φ (see eq. (2.16)), our gauge slice will be specified by the constraints

$$y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}[\mathbf{s}] \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}]} (h^\Phi) \in \mathbb{C} K. \quad (2.25)$$

where $\Phi = e^y$. Therefore, eq. (2.21) becomes

$$\Lambda + q^{\text{GS}} = P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}]}(\Phi \Lambda \Phi^{-1}) + h_c^\Phi K, \quad (2.26)$$

which, upon comparison with eq. (2.11), exhibits that $\partial_x = \partial_i$ and, consequently, $h^\Phi = h^\Phi(i) + h_c^\Phi(i) K$. This implies that all the quantities in the hierarchy depend on x and t_i through the combination $x + t_i$; however, we will often indicate only the dependence on either x or t_i .

Finally, let us recall that these hierarchies exhibit a scale invariance, *i.e.*, under the transformation $x \mapsto \lambda x$, for constant λ , each quantity in the equations can be assigned a scaling dimension such that the equations are invariant. Let us split q into components such that $q = \sum_{j < i} q^j e_j$ and $e_j \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_j(\mathbf{s}')$. Then, the hierarchy is invariant under the transformations [19]

$$x \mapsto \lambda x, \quad t_j \mapsto \lambda^{j/i} t_j, \quad \text{and} \quad q^j \mapsto \lambda^{j/i-1} q^j, \quad (2.27)$$

Notice that, under (2.27),²

$$L = \partial_x - \Lambda - q \mapsto \lambda^{-1} \lambda^{d_{\mathbf{s}'}/i} L \lambda^{-d_{\mathbf{s}'}/i}, \quad (2.28)$$

which induces the following changes in (2.8):

$$\Phi \mapsto \lambda^{d_{\mathbf{s}'}/i} \Phi \lambda^{-d_{\mathbf{s}'}/i}, \quad (h^\Phi)^j \mapsto \lambda^{j/i-1} (h^\Phi)^j, \quad (2.29)$$

where $(h^\Phi)^j$ is the component of h^Φ with $\mathbf{s}'$ -grade j . Therefore, taking into account (2.11), the transformation (2.27) implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_j \mapsto \lambda^{-j/i} \lambda^{d_{\mathbf{s}'}/i} \mathcal{L}_j \lambda^{-d_{\mathbf{s}'}/i}, \quad (2.30)$$

which do not change neither the form of the Lax operators nor the zero-curvature equations and, hence, exhibits that (2.27) is an actual symmetry of these hierarchies.

² In the following expressions, we mean

$$\lambda^{d_{\mathbf{s}'}/i} L \lambda^{-d_{\mathbf{s}'}/i} = \exp(\ln \lambda (\text{ad } d_{\mathbf{s}'}/i))(L).$$

2.2 Toda-mKdV hierarchies

Each Toda-mKdV hierarchy will be specified by two constant elements $\Lambda_+ = b_i \in \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ and $\Lambda_- = b_{-i} \in \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$, with $i > 0$, constrained by the condition $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_+) = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_-)$. Then, consider the two Lax operators

$$L_{\pm} = \partial_{\pm} - \Lambda_{\pm} - q_{\pm} \quad (2.31)$$

where $\partial_{\pm} = \partial/\partial x_{\pm}$,

$$q_+ = \sum_{1 \leq j < i} F_j^+ - B^{-1} \partial_+ B, \quad \text{and} \quad q_- = \sum_{1 \leq j < i} F_j^- + \partial_- B B^{-1}. \quad (2.32)$$

Here, $F_j^{\pm}$ and B are functions taking values on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{\pm j}(\mathbf{s}')$ and on the finite Lie group $\tilde{G}_0(\mathbf{s}')$ corresponding to the subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}')$, respectively. They provide the phase space coordinates of the hierarchy and, in this case, there will not be any gauge symmetry.

First of all, notice that the zero-curvature condition

$$[L_+, B^{-1} L_- B] = 0 \quad (2.33)$$

provides the equations-of-motion of a generalized non-abelian affine Toda theory where $\partial_{\pm}$ are the derivatives with respect to the light-cone variables. The usual abelian affine Toda equations are recovered with the principal Heisenberg subalgebra and $\Lambda_{\pm} = b_{\pm 1}$ [32,24], while its non-abelian versions involve generic Heisenberg subalgebras together with the constraints $F_m^{\pm} = 0$ [33,16]. The most general case with $F_m^{\pm} \neq 0$ corresponds to the coupling of the latter systems with (spinor) matter fields [34].

On the other hand, L_+ and L_- can be viewed as the Lax operators of two different mKdV-type hierarchies within the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov construction, where, in the case of L_- , positive grades have been changed into negative ones and *vice versa*. Therefore, they can be abelianized as in eq. (2.8), which means that there exist two functions $\Phi_{\pm} = e^{y_{\pm}}$ with $y_+ \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$ and $y_- \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}')$ such that

$$\Phi_{\pm}^{-1} L_{\pm} \Phi_{\pm} = \partial_{\pm} - \Lambda_{\pm} - h_{\pm}^{\Phi_{\pm}}, \quad (2.34)$$

where $h_+^{\Phi_+}$ and $h_-^{\Phi_-}$ take values on $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_+) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{< i}(\mathbf{s}')$ and $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_-) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{> -i}(\mathbf{s}')$, respectively. Again, the choice of $\Phi_{\pm}$ is not unique, but they can always be chosen such that both $\Phi_{\pm}$ and $h_{\pm}^{\Phi_{\pm}} - q_{\pm c} K$ are local functionals of the components of $\tilde{q}_{\pm} = q_{\pm} - q_{\pm c} K$, simply

by taking $y_{\pm} \in \text{Im}(\text{ad } \Lambda_{\pm})$. In these equations, $q_{\pm c} K$ stand for the central components of $q_{\pm}$.

The flows of the Toda-mKdV hierarchy corresponding to the data $\{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda_+, \Lambda_-\}$ are associated with the (non-central) elements of the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{\text{T}} &= \mathfrak{Z}_{\Lambda_{\pm}} = \text{Cent}(\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_+)) = \text{Cent}(\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_-)) \\ &= \mathbb{C} K \oplus \sum_{j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}}} \mathbb{C} b_j. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

The flow corresponding to $b_j \in \widehat{\mathfrak{s}}_{\text{T}}$ is defined by means of the following Lax operators:

$$\mathcal{L}_j = \partial_j - \text{P}_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}']}(\Phi_+ b_j \Phi_+^{-1}) - h_c^{\Phi_+}(j) K, \quad (2.36)$$

if $j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} \geq 0$, and

$$\mathcal{L}_j = B^{-1} \left[\partial_j - \text{P}_{\leq 0[\mathbf{s}']}(\Phi_- b_j \Phi_-^{-1}) - h_c^{\Phi_-}(j) K \right] B, \quad (2.37)$$

if $j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} < 0$, where $h_c^{\Phi_{\pm}}(j)$ are arbitrary complex functions. Moreover, the evolution of B will be constrained such that

$$B^{-1} \partial_j B = -\text{P}_{0[\mathbf{s}']}(\Phi_+ b_j \Phi_+^{-1}) - h_c^{\Phi_+}(j) K, \quad (2.38)$$

if $j \geq 0$, and

$$\partial_j B B^{-1} = \text{P}_{0[\mathbf{s}']}(\Phi_- b_j \Phi_-^{-1}) + h_c^{\Phi_-}(j) K, \quad (2.39)$$

if $j < 0$. Then, if

$$\mathcal{L}_j = \partial_j - A_j \quad \text{and} \quad B \mathcal{L}_j B^{-1} = \partial_j - \widehat{A}_j, \quad (2.40)$$

it is straightforward to check that $A_j \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$ if $j < 0$, and $\widehat{A}_k \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}')$ for $k \geq 0$.

Recall eq. (2.34) and consider

$$\Phi_+^{-1} \mathcal{L}_j \Phi_+ = \partial_j - b_j - h_+^{\Phi_+}(j) - h_c^{\Phi_+}(j) K. \quad (2.41)$$

As a consequence of the form of the Lax operators, it is not difficult to check that $h_+^{\Phi_+}(j) \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$ for all j , and that $h_c^{\Phi_+}(k) = 0$ for $k < 0$. Then, $[L_+, \mathcal{L}_j] = 0$ implies that

$$h_+^{\Phi_+}(j) \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_{\pm}) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}') \quad (2.42)$$

for all j and, moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_+ - h_+^{\Phi_+}, \partial_j - h_+^{\Phi_+}(j) - h_c^{\Phi_+}(j) K] &= -i \delta_{i+j,0} K \\ &+ \frac{r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} \left(j(b_j | h_+^{\Phi_+}) - i(\Lambda_+ | h_+^{\Phi_+}(j)) \right) K, \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

which is the analogue of eq. (2.14). Therefore, this shows that

$$\partial_j (b_n | h_+^{\Phi+}) = \partial_+ (b_n | h_+^{\Phi+}(j)) \quad (2.44)$$

for each $n \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} > 0$ and $j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}}$, which means that the components of $P_{<0[\mathbf{s}']}(h_+^{\Phi+})$ along $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Lambda_{\pm}}$ provide an infinite set of conserved densities with respect to ∂_+ .

Similarly, consider

$$\Phi_-^{-1} B \mathcal{L}_j B^{-1} \Phi_- = \partial_j - b_j - h_-^{\Phi-}(j) - h_c^{\Phi-}(j)K. \quad (2.45)$$

Then, $[L_-, \mathcal{L}_j] = 0$ implies that

$$h_-^{\Phi-}(j) \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_-) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}') \quad (2.46)$$

for all j , $h_c^{\Phi-}(k) = 0$ for $k \geq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_- - h_-^{\Phi-}, \partial_j - h_-^{\Phi-}(j) - h_c^{\Phi-}(j)K] &= i \delta_{i-j,0} K \\ &+ \frac{r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} \left(j(b_j | h_-^{\Phi-}) + i(\Lambda_- | h_-^{\Phi-}(j)) \right) K. \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_j (b_n | h_-^{\Phi-}) = \partial_- (b_n | h_-^{\Phi-}(j)) \quad (2.48)$$

for each $n \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} < 0$ and $j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}}$ and, hence, the components of $P_{>0[\mathbf{s}']}(h_-^{\Phi-})$ along $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Lambda_{\pm}}$ provide another infinite set of conserved densities; but now with respect to ∂_- .

In the following, we will restrict ourselves to the Toda-mKdV hierarchies where $\partial_{\pm} = \partial_{\pm i}$. The precise connection is provided by (2.34), which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= \partial_+ - P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}']}(\Phi_+ \Lambda_+ \Phi_+^{-1}) - P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}']} \left(\Phi_+ h_+^{\Phi+} \Phi_+^{-1} \right), \\ L_- &= \partial_- - P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}']}(\Phi_- \Lambda_- \Phi_-^{-1}) - P_{\leq 0[\mathbf{s}']} \left(\Phi_- h_-^{\Phi-} \Phi_-^{-1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

Therefore, the identification $\partial_{\pm} = \partial_{\pm i}$ requires to constrain the functions $F_j^{\pm}$ and B by means of

$$P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}']} \left(h_+^{\Phi+} \right), P_{\leq 0[\mathbf{s}']} \left(h_-^{\Phi-} \right) \in \mathbb{C} K, \quad (2.50)$$

which implies that

$$L_+ = \mathcal{L}_i \quad \text{and} \quad L_- = B \mathcal{L}_{-i} B^{-1}. \quad (2.51)$$

At this point, it is worth mentioning that the constraints (2.50) have a nice physical interpretation in the context of non-abelian affine Toda theories. Consider the non-abelian

Toda equations corresponding to $\Lambda_{\pm} = b_{\pm 1}$. In this case, $P_{0[\mathbf{s}']} (h_+^{\Phi+})$ and $P_{0[\mathbf{s}']} (h_-^{\Phi-})$ are just the components of $B^{-1} \partial_+ B$ and $\partial_- B B^{-1}$ along $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_{\pm})$, respectively. Then, the constraints (2.50) are the necessary conditions to ensure that the theory has a mass-gap and, hence, that it admits an S -matrix description [35].

These hierarchies also exhibit a scale invariance. In this case, the scale transformation is

$$\begin{aligned} x_{\pm} &\mapsto \lambda^{\pm 1} x_{\pm}, & t_j &\mapsto \lambda^{j/i} t_j, \\ F_j^{\pm} &\mapsto \lambda^{\pm(j/i-1)}, & \text{and } B &\mapsto B, \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

which amounts just to a conjugation of $L_{\pm}$:

$$L_{\pm} \longmapsto \lambda^{\mp 1} \lambda^{d_{\mathbf{s}'/i}} L_{\pm} \lambda^{-d_{\mathbf{s}'/i}}. \quad (2.53)$$

In (2.34), it induces

$$(h_{\pm}^{\Phi\pm})^j \mapsto \lambda^{\pm(j/i-1)} (h_{\pm}^{\Phi\pm})^j. \quad (2.54)$$

This scale invariance has a special meaning when $x_{\pm}$ are viewed as light-cone variables, *i.e.*, $x_{\pm} = t \pm x$, as it happens in the affine Toda field theories. Then, the scale transformation (2.52) is just a Lorentz transformation in $1 + 1$ dimensions and, hence, scale invariance implies relativistic invariance.

3. Invariant conserved charges

The classical integrability of the zero-curvature hierarchies discussed in the previous section is manifested in the existence of an infinite number of local conserved charges.

Recall eqs. (2.15), (2.44), and (2.48). They show that, with suitable boundary conditions, the infinite set of quantities

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\Phi}[n] = \int dx (b_n | h^{\Phi}), \quad b_n \in \mathfrak{Z}_{\Lambda} \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}'), \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\pm}^{\Phi\pm}[n] = \int dx_{\pm} (b_n | h_{\pm}^{\Phi\pm}), \quad b_{\pm n} \in \mathfrak{Z}_{\Lambda} \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}'), \quad (3.2)$$

are conserved by all the flows of the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov and the Toda-mKdV hierarchies, respectively. Unfortunately, this definition of the conserved quantities is ambiguous as a consequence of the fact that neither Φ nor $\Phi_{\pm}$ are uniquely defined. Indeed, as explained in Section 2.1 around eq. (2.16), the form of (2.8) is preserved if $\Phi \mapsto \Phi e^{\eta}$, where $\eta \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$. This transformation implies that

$$h^{\Phi} \mapsto e^{-\eta} h^{\Phi} e^{\eta} - e^{-\eta} (\partial_x e^{\eta}), \quad (3.3)$$

and, since $b_n \in \mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda$,

$$(b_n | h^\Phi) \mapsto (b_n | h^\Phi) - \partial_x (b_n | \eta) . \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore, the conserved densities are ambiguous and, consequently, the quantities $\mathcal{Q}^\Phi[n]$ depend on the arbitrary asymptotic values of η . The same is true for the conserved densities $\mathcal{Q}_\pm^{\Phi^\pm}[n]$.

A non-ambiguous definition of the conserved quantities can be achieved by constraining $\Phi = e^y$ and $\Phi_\pm = e^{y_\pm}$ such that $y \in \text{Im}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$ and $y_\pm \in \text{Im}(\text{ad } \Lambda_\pm)$. In fact, this choice ensures that the conserved quantities are local functionals of the components of $\tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}$ and $q_\pm$, respectively; *i.e.*,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^\Phi[n] &\longrightarrow Q_n = \int dx \, T_n(\tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}) , \\ \mathcal{Q}_+^{\Phi^+}[n] &\longrightarrow Q_n^+ = \int dx_+ \, T_n^+(B^{-1}\partial_+ B, F_j^+) , \\ \mathcal{Q}_-^{\Phi^-}[n] &\longrightarrow Q_n^- = \int dx_- \, T_n^-(\partial_- B B^{-1}, F_j^-) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where T_n , T_n^+ and T_n^- are differential polynomials in the components of their arguments. In other words, Q_n and $Q_n^\pm$ provide an infinite set of local conserved quantities.

However, sometimes it is not convenient to constrain Φ or $\Phi_\pm$ to be local and, hence, an improved ‘invariant’ definition of the conserved quantities $Q[n]$ and $Q_\pm[n]$ is required. This problem was originally pointed out by Wilson [30], but it was Freeman who first succeeded in defining the local conserved densities in a η -independent way in the context of the abelian affine Toda equation [36]. In this section, we will generalize his construction to our zero-curvature hierarchies.

We start by writing the conserved densities of the generalized Drinfel’d-Sokolov hierarchy associated with $\{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda, \mathbf{s}\}$ by means of commutators. Since $b_n \in \mathfrak{Z}_\Lambda$ and $h^\Phi(j) \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$,³

$$[b_n, h^\Phi(j)] = \frac{n r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} (b_n | h^\Phi(j)) K , \quad (3.6)$$

which, using eqs. (2.11) and (2.12), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} (b_n | h^\Phi(j)) K &= \Phi [b_n, h^\Phi(j)] \Phi^{-1} \\ &= [\Phi b_n \Phi^{-1}, A_j] + \partial_j (\Phi b_n \Phi^{-1}) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

The last term in the last line is a total ∂_j -derivative that trivially satisfies eq. (2.15). In contrast, the first term is explicitly η -independent (see eq. (2.16)) and can be used to define

³ Recall that $[b_n, \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)] \in \mathbb{C}K$, $[d_{\mathbf{s}'}, b_n] = n b_n$, and $(d_{\mathbf{s}'} | K) = N_{\mathbf{s}'} / r$ (see the appendix, Section A.1).

an invariant conserved density. In order to do it, one has to project its component along K out, which can be done by means of an auxiliary derivation $d_{\mathbf{m}}$. Then, we define the invariant conserved densities of a generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchy by

$$\begin{aligned} J^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] &= \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} (d_{\mathbf{m}} | [\Phi b_n \Phi^{-1}, A_j]) \\ &= (b_n | h^{\Phi}(j)) - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j (d_{\mathbf{m}} | \Phi b_n \Phi^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $j \in E_{\Lambda} \geq 0$ and $n \in E_{\Lambda} > 0$. In particular, since $\Lambda = b_i$ and $h^{\Phi} = h^{\Phi}(i)$, these densities lead to the conserved quantities

$$Q_n = \int dx J^{(i)}[n; \mathbf{m}], \quad (3.9)$$

whose value seems to depend on the arbitrary gradation $\mathbf{m}$. However, the conserved density $J^{(i)}[n; \mathbf{m}]$ depends on $\mathbf{m}$ only through an improvement term that is a total ∂_x -derivative. Then, if Φ is chosen to be a local functional of $\tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}$, this term is the total derivative of a quantity that is also local in $\tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}$ and, hence, it does not contribute to the value of Q_n . Since the definition of $J^{(i)}[n; \mathbf{m}]$ is manifestly independent of the choice of Φ , this also ensures the $\mathbf{m}$ -independence of Q_n .

It is worth mentioning that Q_n has definite scaling dimension with respect to the transformations given by (2.27). Actually, taking into account (2.29), its transformation is

$$Q_n \longmapsto \lambda^{n/i} Q_n. \quad (3.10)$$

Let us consider the behaviour of the invariant conserved densities defined by (3.8) with respect to the group of gauge transformations given by (2.17). Since they do not change the form of the Lax operator, they induce the following changes in (2.8):

$$\Phi \mapsto U \Phi e^{\eta}, \quad h^{\Phi} \mapsto e^{-\eta} h^{\Phi} e^{\eta} - e^{-\eta} (\partial_x e^{\eta}), \quad (3.11)$$

where we have taken into account that Φ is not uniquely defined. Therefore, the invariant conserved density transforms according to

$$J^{(i)}[n; \mathbf{m}] \mapsto (b_n | h^{\Phi}(i)) - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_x (U^{-1} d_{\mathbf{m}} U | \Phi b_n \Phi^{-1}), \quad (3.12)$$

i.e., it is gauge invariant up to a total ∂_x -derivative, which is enough to ensure that the conserved quantities Q_n are gauge invariant. However, it is possible to choose the auxiliary gradation $\mathbf{m}$ such that the conserved densities are also explicitly gauge invariant. Recall that U takes values on the subalgebra $P \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s})$ given by (2.18). Therefore, if $\mathbf{m}$ is chosen

to be $\preceq \mathbf{s}$, then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{m})$ and, hence, $U^{-1} d_{\mathbf{m}} U = d_{\mathbf{m}}$, which implies that the density $J^{(i)}[n; \mathbf{m}]$ is also gauge invariant.

The dependence of the invariant conserved densities on t_0 is particularly simple. Choosing the gauge slice according with (2.25), the flow associated with t_0 is induced by the Lax operator

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \partial_0 - b_0 - h_c^\Phi(0) K. \quad (3.13)$$

Actually, using eq. (2.12) with $j = 0$, it can be shown that Φ is of the form

$$\Phi = e^{t_0 b_0} \widetilde{\Phi} e^{-t_0 b_0} e^\rho, \quad (3.14)$$

where $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is independent of t_0 and ρ takes values on $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$. Then, using (2.11), the conserved densities become

$$J^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} (e^{-t_0 b_0} d_{\mathbf{m}} e^{t_0 b_0} | [\widetilde{\Phi} b_n \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}, P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}]}(\widetilde{\Phi} b_j \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1})]). \quad (3.15)$$

Therefore, if $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$ or, in particular, if $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}$, the previous equation shows that all the conserved densities are explicitly independent of t_0 . Moreover, $J^{(0)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = 0$ for all n , and

$$n J^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = j J^{(n)}[j; \mathbf{m}], \quad (3.16)$$

for all $n, j \in E_\Lambda > 0$.

Coming back to the Toda-mKdV hierarchy associated with $\{\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda_+, \Lambda_-\}$, their invariant conserved densities can be defined in a similar way:

$$\begin{aligned} J_+^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] &= \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} (d_{\mathbf{m}} | [\Phi_+ b_n \Phi_+^{-1}, A_j]) - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{r} \delta_{n+j,0} \\ &= (b_n | h_+^{\Phi}(j)) - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j (d_{\mathbf{m}} | \Phi_+ b_n \Phi_+^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

for $n \in E_{\Lambda_\pm} > 0$ and any $j \in E_{\Lambda_\pm}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} J_-^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] &= \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} (d_{\mathbf{m}} | [\Phi_- b_n \Phi_-^{-1}, \widehat{A}_j]) - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{r} \delta_{n+j,0} \\ &= (b_n | h_-^{\Phi}(j)) - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j (d_{\mathbf{m}} | \Phi_- b_n \Phi_-^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where now $n \in E_{\Lambda_\pm} < 0$. Recall that $A_j = \mathcal{L}_j - \partial_j$ and $\widehat{A}_j = B \mathcal{L}_j B^{-1} - \partial_j$ (see eq. (2.40)). The corresponding conserved quantities also have definite scaling dimension with respect to the (Lorentz) transformations given by (2.52):

$$Q_{\pm n}^\pm = \int dx_\pm J_\pm^{(\pm i)}[\pm n; \mathbf{m}] \longmapsto \lambda^{\pm n/i} Q_n^\pm, \quad n > 0. \quad (3.19)$$

Finally, in this case, it is also true that choosing $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$ makes all the conserved densities explicitly independent of t_0 . However, the analogue of (3.16) is not satisfied in general.

Once we have an unambiguous definition of the conserved densities, let us introduce the (very non-local) choice for Φ and $\Phi_{\pm}$ which provides the bridge to connect with the group of dressing transformations, the tau-function formalism and the results of [10].

3.1 Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies

Recall eq. (2.12) and consider the complete set of zero-curvature equations $\Phi^{-1}[\mathcal{L}_j, \mathcal{L}_k]\Phi = 0$, which imply that

$$[\partial_j - h^{\Phi}(j), \partial_k - h^{\Phi}(k)] = 0 \quad (3.20)$$

$$\partial_j h_c^{\Phi}(k) + \frac{k r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} (b_k | h^{\Phi}(j)) = \partial_k h_c^{\Phi}(j) + \frac{j r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} (b_j | h^{\Phi}(k)) . \quad (3.21)$$

Eq. (3.20) provides the integrability conditions for

$$h^{\Phi}(j) = (\partial_j \chi^{\Phi}) \chi^{\Phi^{-1}} , \quad (3.22)$$

where $\chi^{\Phi} = e^{\rho}$ and ρ is a function that takes values on $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$; eq. (3.22) is the generalization of (2.24) to all the flows. Next consider $\Theta_{\text{DS}} = \Phi \chi^{\Phi} = e^v$, where $v \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s})$ in agreement with the gauge fixing prescription specified by (2.25). It is important to stress that, even if Φ is chosen to be a local functional of q , both χ^{Φ} and Θ_{DS} are always non-local. Then, it is straightforward to demonstrate that

$$\Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_j \Theta_{\text{DS}} = \partial_j - b_j - h_c^{\Theta_{\text{DS}}}(j) K , \quad (3.23)$$

i.e., Θ_{DS} also abelianizes the Lax operators with the characteristic feature that $h^{\Theta_{\text{DS}}}(j) = 0$ for all j . Moreover,

$$h_c^{\Theta_{\text{DS}}}(j) = h_c^{\Phi}(j) + \frac{j r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} (b_j | \rho) , \quad (3.24)$$

where we have used (3.22) and taken into account that $b_j \in \mathfrak{Z}_{\Lambda}$. Then, eq. (3.21) becomes

$$\partial_j h_c^{\Theta_{\text{DS}}}(k) - \partial_k h_c^{\Theta_{\text{DS}}}(j) = 0 , \quad (3.25)$$

which allows one to fix the, so far, arbitrary functions $h_c^{\Phi}(j)$ such that $h_c^{\Theta_{\text{DS}}}(j) = 0$ and, hence,

$$\Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_j \Theta_{\text{DS}} = \partial_j - b_j = \partial_j - A_j^{(\text{vac})} , \quad (3.26)$$

where $A_j^{(\text{vac})}$ has been defined in (2.4) (recall that in this case $j \in E_\Lambda$ is always ≥ 0). This particular form of the abelianization provides a one-to-one map from solutions of the (gauge fixed) hierarchy to solutions of the associated linear problem (2.3) of the form

$$\Psi = \Theta_{\text{DS}} \exp \left[\sum_{j \in E_\Lambda \geq 0} t_j b_j \right], \quad (3.27)$$

which is a generalization of the Theorem 2.4 of [20].

Using (3.26), the invariant conserved densities defined by (3.8) become

$$J^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j (d_{\mathbf{m}} | \Theta_{\text{DS}} b_n \Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1}). \quad (3.28)$$

In particular, since $x = t_i$, $J^{(i)}[n; \mathbf{m}]$ is just a total ∂_x -derivative and, hence, all the information required to calculate the value of the conserved quantities Q_n is encoded in the boundary values of Θ_{DS} .

3.2 Toda-mKdV hierarchies

In this case, the previous construction can be repeated in two different ways, using either (2.41) or (2.45).

Let us start with eq. (2.41) and consider the complete set of zero-curvature equations $\Phi_+^{-1}[\mathcal{L}_j, \mathcal{L}_k]\Phi_+ = 0$. They provide the integrability conditions for

$$h_+^{\Phi_+}(j) = (\partial_j \chi_+^{\Phi_+}) \chi_+^{\Phi_+ - 1}, \quad (3.29)$$

where $\chi_+^{\Phi_+} = e^{\rho_+}$ and ρ_+ is a function that takes values on $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_\pm) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$. Then consider $\Theta = \Phi_+ \chi_+^{\Phi_+} = e^{v_+}$, where $v_+ \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$. It is not difficult to prove that

$$\Theta^{-1} \mathcal{L}_j \Theta = \partial_j - b_j - h_c^\Theta(j) K, \quad (3.30)$$

i.e., Θ abelianizes the Lax operators in the sense of eq. (2.41) with the characteristic feature that $h_+^\Theta(j) = 0$ for all $j \in E_{\Lambda_\pm}$; moreover,

$$h_c^\Theta(j) = h_c^{\Phi_+}(j) + \frac{j r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} (b_j | \rho_+). \quad (3.31)$$

The zero-curvature equations also imply that

$$\partial_j h_c^\Theta(k) - \partial_k h_c^\Theta(j) = j \delta_{j+k,0}, \quad (3.32)$$

which allows one to fix the arbitrary functions $h_c^{\Phi_+}(j)$ such that

$$\Theta^{-1} \mathcal{L}_j \Theta = \partial_j - A_j^{(\text{vac})} + \partial_j \varphi K, \quad (3.33)$$

where $A_j^{(\text{vac})}$ is given by (2.4) and⁴

$$\varphi = \sum_{j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} > 0} j t_j t_{-j} . \quad (3.34)$$

Consequently, the (positive) invariant conserved densities defined by (3.17) become

$$J_+^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j (d_{\mathbf{m}} | \Theta b_n \Theta^{-1}) , \quad (3.35)$$

for all j (positive and negative) such that $j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}}$ and $n \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} > 0$.

Next, let us consider eq. (2.45) and the zero-curvature equations

$$\Phi_-^{-1} B[\mathcal{L}_j, \mathcal{L}_k] B^{-1} \Phi_- = 0 , \quad (3.36)$$

which provide the integrability conditions for

$$h_-^{\Phi-}(j) = (\partial_j \chi_-^{\Phi-}) \chi_-^{\Phi- -1} , \quad (3.37)$$

where $\chi_-^{\Phi-} = e^{\rho_-}$ and ρ_- is a function that takes values on $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda_{\pm}) \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}')$. Then consider $\Upsilon = \Phi_- \chi_-^{\Phi-} = e^{v_-}$, where $v_- \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}')$. It is not difficult to prove that

$$\Upsilon^{-1} B \mathcal{L}_j B^{-1} \Upsilon = \partial_j - b_j - h_c^{\Upsilon}(j) K , \quad (3.38)$$

i.e., Υ abelianizes the Lax operators in the sense of eq. (2.45) with the characteristic feature that $h_-^{\Upsilon}(j) = 0$ for all j ; moreover,

$$h_c^{\Upsilon}(j) = h_c^{\Phi-}(j) + \frac{j^r}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} (b_j | \rho_-) . \quad (3.39)$$

The zero-curvature equations also imply that

$$\partial_j h_c^{\Upsilon}(k) - \partial_k h_c^{\Upsilon}(j) = j \delta_{j+k,0} , \quad (3.40)$$

which allows one to choose the arbitrary functions $h_c^{\Phi-}(j)$ such that

$$\Upsilon^{-1} B \mathcal{L}_j B^{-1} \Upsilon = \partial_j - A_j^{(\text{vac})} . \quad (3.41)$$

Therefore, the (negative) invariant conserved densities given by (3.18) become

$$J_-^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j (d_{\mathbf{m}} | \Upsilon b_n \Upsilon^{-1}) , \quad (3.42)$$

for all $j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}}$ and $n \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} < 0$.

⁴ According to (2.41), recall that $h_c^{\Theta}(j)$ has to vanish for $j < 0$, while $A_j^{(\text{vac})} - b_j = -\partial_j \varphi K \neq 0$.

4. Group theoretical solutions and their conserved densities

In the previous section, it has been shown that the Lax operators of both the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov and the Toda-mKdV hierarchies can always be conjugated to their trivial vacuum form $\mathcal{L}_j = \partial_j - A_j^{(\text{vac})}$ by means of the transformations given by eqs. (3.26), (3.33), and (3.41). As a consequence of the Drinfel'd-Sokolov procedure, the appropriate conjugations are given by the exponentiated adjoint action of certain formal series in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s})$ ($\Theta_{\text{DS}} = e^v$), in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$ ($\Theta = e^{v+}$), or in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}')$ ($\Upsilon = e^{v-}$). These series are not convergent in general. However, the construction of a particular Lax operator or conserved density are well defined because they only need a finite number of terms of the series.

In the following, we will restrict ourselves to the class of solutions for which Θ_{DS} , Θ and Υ are elements of the Kac-Moody group $\tilde{G}$. Although this constraint might seem rather mild, it is analogous to the condition that singles out the class of solutions of the KdV equation considered by Segal and Wilson [7]. As it is well known, this class includes the explicit (periodic) algebro-geometric solutions of Krichever and the multi-soliton solutions. We will show that our class of solutions consists precisely of those ones generated by means of the action of the group of dressing transformations on the vacuum solutions given by eqs. (2.4) and (2.5) [10]. Moreover, in the particular case of the affine Toda equations, these solutions coincide with the ‘solitonic specialization’ proposed by Olive *et al.* [13,14].

4.1 Solutions of the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies

Consider eq. (3.26) where, now, Θ_{DS} is a function that takes values on $\tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s})$, the subgroup of the Kac-Moody group $\tilde{G}$ corresponding to $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s})$. Taking into account (2.11), eq. (3.26) splits into

$$\mathcal{L}_j = \partial_j - P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}]}(\Theta_{\text{DS}} b_j \Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1}), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\partial_j \Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1} = \Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1} P_{< 0[\mathbf{s}]}(\Theta_{\text{DS}} b_j \Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1}). \quad (4.2)$$

These equations lead to an alternative interpretation of the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies restricted to this class of solutions. They can be viewed as a generalization of the approach of Feigin, Frenkel and Enriquez to soliton equations of KdV and

mKdV type [21], where the hierarchies consists of flows defined on functions that take values on the Kac Moody group. Given its relevance, let us make this relationship more explicit. Since $\Lambda = b_i$ and $L = \mathcal{L}_i$, eq. (4.1) provides the following one-to-one map between the (gauge fixed) q^{GS} , restricted to this class of solutions, and $\Theta_{\text{DS}} \in \tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s})$:⁵

$$q^{\text{GS}} = P_{\geq 0[\mathbf{s}]}(\Theta_{\text{DS}} \Lambda \Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1}) - \Lambda. \quad (4.3)$$

Consider the transformation $\Theta_{\text{DS}} \rightarrow \Theta_{\text{DS}} e^\rho$, where $\rho \in \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s})$, which implies that $q^{\text{GS}} \rightarrow q^{\text{GS}} - ir/N_{\mathbf{s}'} (\Lambda \mid \rho) K$. However, it was shown in Section 2 that the component of q^{GS} along the centre is not an actual degree of freedom of the hierarchy (see the comments below eq. (2.20)). Therefore, eq. (4.3) has to be viewed as a one-to-one map between $\tilde{q}^{\text{GS}} = q^{\text{GS}} - q_c^{\text{GS}} K$ and $\Theta_{\text{DS}} \in \tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s})/K_-^\Lambda(\mathbf{s})$, where $K_-^\Lambda(\mathbf{s})$ is the subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ corresponding to the subalgebra $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s})$. On $\tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s})$, the flows are defined by (4.2), which can be put in the form

$$\partial_j \Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1} = b_j^L \cdot \Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1}, \quad (4.4)$$

where b_j^L is the derivation of $\tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s})$ corresponding to the left infinitesimal action of b_j . It is defined as follows [21]. Consider a one-parameter subgroup $b_j(\epsilon)$ of $\tilde{G}$ such that $b_j(\epsilon) = 1 + \epsilon b_j + o(\epsilon^2)$, and a generic element $h \in \tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s})$. This group acts on h from the left according to

$$b_j(\epsilon) \cdot h = h + \epsilon b_j h + o(\epsilon^2) = h(1 + \epsilon h^{-1} b_j h + o(\epsilon^2)), \quad (4.5)$$

which, for infinitesimal ϵ , can be factorized as an element of $\tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s}) \tilde{G}_0(\mathbf{s}) \tilde{G}_+(\mathbf{s})$. Then, its component in $\tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s})$ equals $h + \epsilon h P_{<0[\mathbf{s}]}(h^{-1} b_j h) + o(\epsilon^2)$, which leads to $b_j^L \cdot h = h P_{<0[\mathbf{s}]}(h^{-1} b_j h)$ and, hence, proves (4.4).

Coming back to eqs. (4.1) and (4.2), notice that Θ_{DS}^{-1} satisfies a system of first order differential equations. Their general solution is

$$\Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1}[h] = \left(\exp \left[\sum_{j \in E_\Lambda \geq 0} t_j b_j \right] h \right)_-, \quad (4.6)$$

where $(\cdot)_-$ indicates the projection on $\tilde{G}_{<0}(\mathbf{s})$ (see the appendix, Section A.3), and h is an arbitrary constant element in $\tilde{G}_{<0}(\mathbf{s})$ that fixes the initial conditions for (4.2), *i.e.*,

$$\Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1}[h] \Big|_{t_j=0} = h. \quad (4.7)$$

⁵ Notice that, according to (2.25), the condition $\Theta_{\text{DS}} \in \tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s})$ already fixes the gauge slice.

Taking into account (3.27), eq. (4.6) provides the following solution for the associated linear problem

$$\Psi = \left[(\Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} h)_- \right]^{-1} \Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})}, \quad (4.8)$$

where, in this case,

$$\Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} = \exp \left[\sum_{j \in E_{\Lambda} \geq 0} t_j b_j \right] \quad (4.9)$$

(see eqs. (2.4) and (2.5)). It is worth noticing the similarity of this formula with the Baker-Akhiezer function for the KdV equation obtained by Segal and Wilson [7]. Moreover, it is remarkable that (4.6) and (4.8) correspond precisely to the solutions generated by the action of the group of dressing transformations on the trivial vacuum solution [20,10].

At this point, it is convenient to remind that $\tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s})$ can be identified with the quotient $\tilde{G}/P_{\mathbf{s}}$, where $P_{\mathbf{s}}$ is the ‘parabolic’ group corresponding to the subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{s})$ generated by the e_i^- , for each i such that $s_i = 0$, and the h_i and e_i^+ , for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, l$. In the generalized Drinfel’d-Sokolov hierarchies, $\tilde{G}/P_{\mathbf{s}}$ plays the same role as the Grassmannian (or flag manifold) in the approach of Segal and Wilson to the KdV (or mKdV) equation [7]. Moreover, consider a constant element $\chi \in K_-^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{s})$. Since $[b_j, \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)] \in \mathbb{C}K$, it is easy to check that $\Theta_{\text{DS}}[\chi h] = \Theta_{\text{DS}}[h] \chi^{-1}$. Therefore, taking into account the previous paragraphs, we conclude that the non-equivalent solutions for $\tilde{q}^{\text{GS}}$ are in one-to-one relation with the elements of

$$K_-^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{s}) \setminus \tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s}) = K_-^{\Lambda}(\mathbf{s}) \setminus \tilde{G} / P_{\mathbf{s}}. \quad (4.10)$$

Let us calculate the invariant conserved densities (3.28) corresponding to the solutions given by (4.6) and (4.8). Since Θ_{DS} is an element of the Kac-Moody group, we will calculate them by considering a suitable integrable representation of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then, using (A.25), eq. (3.28) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} J^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] &= - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \Theta_{\text{DS}}[h] b_n \Theta_{\text{DS}}^{-1}[h] | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle \\ &= - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | b_n (\Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} h)_- | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where we have taken into account that $\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} |$ is a highest weight vector and, hence, $\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{< 0}(\mathbf{s}) = 0$. Let us choose the arbitrary gradation $\mathbf{m}$ such that $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}$ with respect to the partial ordering, and consider the factorization

$$\Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} h = (\Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} h)_- (\Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} h)_0 (\Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} h)_+ \quad (4.12)$$

with respect to the gradation $\mathbf{s}$. Then, since $\overline{\mathbf{m}} \simeq \mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}$, the highest weight vector $|v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}\rangle$ is annihilated by $\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s})$ and is an eigenvector of $\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s})$ (see the appendix, Section A.3), which allows one to write

$$\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | b_n (\Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} h)_- | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle = \frac{\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | b_n \Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} h | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle}{\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} h | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle}, \quad (4.13)$$

Therefore, taking into account that $\partial_n \Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} = b_n \Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})}$, the conserved densities (3.28) are given by

$$J^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \partial_n \ln \tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\text{DS}}(h). \quad (4.14)$$

This exhibits that all the information about the invariant conserved densities is summarized by the single scalar tau-function

$$\tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\text{DS}}(h) = \langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \Psi_{\text{DS}}^{(\text{vac})} h | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle. \quad (4.15)$$

Actually, $\tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\text{DS}}(h)$ is one of the several generalized Hirota tau-functions introduced in [20,10] to parameterize q^{GS} . There, the number of required tau-functions equals the number of components of q^{GS} . In contrast, it is very remarkable that all the conserved quantities can be written by means of a single one. This is the first main result of the paper.

4.2 Solutions of the Toda-mKdV hierarchies

In this case, the solutions follow by considering together the eqs. (3.33) and (3.41). Since

$$\partial_j - A_j^{(\text{vac})} = \Psi_{\text{T}}^{(\text{vac})} \partial_j \Psi_{\text{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}}, \quad (4.16)$$

they imply that

$$\partial_j \left(e^{\varphi K} \Psi_{\text{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}} \Theta^{-1} B^{-1} \Upsilon \Psi_{\text{T}}^{(\text{vac})} \right) = 0 \quad (4.17)$$

for all the flows of the hierarchy. Therefore, since Θ , B , and Υ are in the Kac-Moody group $\widetilde{G}$, the general solution is provided by the decomposition

$$e^{-\varphi K} \Psi_{\text{T}}^{(\text{vac})} h \Psi_{\text{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}} = \Theta^{-1}[h] B^{-1}[h] \Upsilon[h], \quad (4.18)$$

where h is a constant arbitrary element in the big cell of $\widetilde{G}$. In other words, and taking into account the results of [10], the class of solutions of these hierarchies compatible with the constraint that Θ , B , and Υ are in $\widetilde{G}$ is provided by the orbit of the group of dressing transformations acting on the vacuum solutions given, in this case, by

$$\Psi_{\text{T}}^{(\text{vac})} = \exp \left[\sum_{j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} \geq 0} t_j b_j \right] \exp \left[\sum_{j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} > 0} t_{-j} b_{-j} \right] \quad (4.19)$$

(see eqs. (2.4) and (2.5)).

Let us calculate the invariant conserved densities (3.35) and (3.42) corresponding to the solutions given by (4.18). Using (A.25), eq. (3.35) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} J_+^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] &= - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \Theta[h] b_n \Theta^{-1}[h] | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle \\ &= - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | b_n (\Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})} h \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}})_- | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where we have used that $\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \tilde{\mathbf{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}') = 0$. Let us choose the arbitrary gradation $\mathbf{m}$ such that $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$ with respect to the partial ordering, and consider the factorization of $\Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})} h \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}}$ with respect to the gradation $\mathbf{s}'$. Then, since $\overline{\mathbf{m}} \simeq \mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$, the highest weight vector $|v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}\rangle$ is annihilated by $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}')$ and is an eigenvector of $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}')$, which allows one to write

$$\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | b_n (\Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})} h \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}})_- | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle = \frac{\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | b_n \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})} h \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}} | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle}{\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})} h \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}} | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle}, \quad (4.21)$$

Therefore, taking into account that $b_n \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})} = \partial_n \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})}$ and $b_n |v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}\rangle = 0$ for $n \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} > 0$, the (positive) conserved densities (3.17) are given by

$$J_+^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \partial_n \ln \tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\mathbf{T}}(h). \quad (4.22)$$

This means that all the information about the invariant conserved densities for this class of solutions is also summarized by the single scalar tau-function

$$\tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\mathbf{T}}(h) = \langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})} h \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}} | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle. \quad (4.23)$$

Similarly, the (negative) conserved densities (3.42) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} J_-^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] &= - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \Upsilon[h] b_n \Upsilon^{-1}[h] | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle \\ &= - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \frac{\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})} h \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}} b_n | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle}{\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})} h \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}} | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

which, taking into account that (see eq. (2.4))

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}} b_n = - (\partial_n + |n| t_{|n|} K) \Psi_{\mathbf{T}}^{(\text{vac})^{-1}} \quad (4.25)$$

and $\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | b_n = 0$ for $n \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} < 0$, become

$$J_-^{(j)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{|n| N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \partial_n \ln \tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\mathbf{T}}(h). \quad (4.26)$$

In the last equation, $\tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\text{T}}(h)$ is just one of the several generalized Hirota tau-functions introduced in [10] to parameterize the components of $q_{\pm}$, *i.e.*, $F_j^{\pm}$ and B (see eq. (2.32)). Taking into account the results of [10] about the number of required tau-functions, it is very remarkable that all the conserved quantities can be written by means of a single one also for these hierarchies. This is the second main result of the paper.

5. Relationships between the conserved quantities of the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov and Toda-mKdV hierarchies

The main result of the previous section can be summarized as follows. Consider the data $\{\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \mathbf{m}\}$, where $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ is a Heisenberg subalgebra of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$; *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'] = \mathbb{C} K \oplus \sum_{i \in E} \mathbb{C} b_i, \quad b_i \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_i(\mathbf{s}'), \quad [b_j, b_k] = j \delta_{j+k,0} K. \quad (5.1)$$

Then, for each element $h = h_- h_0 h_+$ in the big cell of the Kac-Moody group corresponding to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, construct the tau-function

$$\tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}(h) = \exp\left[\frac{N_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}}{r} \sum_{j \in E > 0} j t_j t_{-j}\right] \langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | \exp\left[\sum_{j \in E \geq 0} t_j b_j\right] h \exp\left[-\sum_{j \in E < 0} t_j b_j\right] | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle, \quad (5.2)$$

where $K_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} = N_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}/r$ is the level of the representation $L(\overline{\mathbf{m}})$ (see eq. (A.26) and below), and the normalization is chosen such that $\tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}(I) = 1$. Moreover, for each element Λ of $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ with non-zero $\mathbf{s}'$ -grade, consider the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\Lambda} = \text{Cent}(\text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)) = \mathbb{C} K \oplus \sum_{j \in E_{\Lambda}} \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'] \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_j(\mathbf{s}'), \quad (5.3)$$

where E_{Λ} is the set of j 's such that $\mathfrak{Z}_{\Lambda} \cap \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_j(\mathbf{s}')$ is not empty.

Then, according to the previous section, the invariant conserved densities for the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchy associated with the data $\{\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda, \mathbf{s}\}$ are given by eq. (4.14), where

$$\tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\text{DS}}(h) = \tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}(h) \Big|_{t_j=0 \text{ for } j \notin E_{\Lambda} \geq 0}. \quad (5.4)$$

Notice that

$$\tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\text{DS}}(h) = \langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | h_0 | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle \tau_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}^{\text{DS}}(h_-), \quad (5.5)$$

but $\langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | h_0 | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle$ is just a constant.

Similarly, the invariant conserved densities for the Toda-mKdV hierarchy associated with $\{\tilde{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{g}^{(r)}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda_+, \Lambda_-\}$ are given by eqs. (4.22) and (4.26), which can be written together as

$$J_{\pm}^{(j)}[\pm n; \mathbf{m}] = -\frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_j \partial_{\pm n} \ln \tau_{\mathbf{m}}^T(h), \quad (5.6)$$

where $n > 0$, and

$$\tau_{\mathbf{m}}^T(h) = \tau_{\mathbf{m}}(h) \Big|_{t_j=0 \text{ for } j \notin E_{\Lambda_{\pm}}}. \quad (5.7)$$

These equations manifest the following relationship between the conserved densities of different integrable hierarchies. Consider some j such that $s'_j \neq 0$ and the (minimal) gradation $\mathbf{s}^{(j)}$ specified by $s_i^{(j)} = \delta_{ij}$, *i.e.*, $\mathbf{s}^{(j)} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$. Then, since one can choose $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{s}^{(j)}$ both in eqs. (4.14) and (5.6), the class of group theoretical solutions for all the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov and Toda-mKdV hierarchies associated to $\{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda, \mathbf{s}\}$, with $\mathbf{s}^{(j)} \preceq \mathbf{s} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$, and to $\{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda_+ = \Lambda, \Lambda_-\}$, respectively, share the same conserved quantities.

These results should have been expected because of the following. First, the Lax operator L_+ associated with $\{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda_+ = \Lambda, \Lambda_-\}$ is of the same form as the Lax operator of the generalized mKdV Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchy associated with $\{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda, \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}'\}$. Then, the coincidence of their conserved charges is a particular consequence of the existence of a map from the solutions of the Toda-mKdV hierarchy into the solutions of the mKdV hierarchy given by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ - \partial_+ - \Lambda_+ &= B^{-1} \partial_+ B - \sum_{1 \leq j < i} F_j^+ \\ &\longmapsto -q^{\text{mKdV}} = L - \partial_x - \Lambda \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

and the identification $\partial_x = \partial_+$. The second reason is that all the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies associated with $\{\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda, \mathbf{s}\}$ and $\mathbf{s}^{(j)} \preceq \mathbf{s} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$ are related by means of generalized Miura transformations [18,19]. Taking into account all this, the resulting relationships between conserved quantities are nothing else but the generalization of the well known connection between conservation laws in the KdV, mKdV, and sine-Gordon systems originally pointed out by Chodos [22].

6. Examples

To illustrate and complement the previous sections, we will show that our results concerning the conserved densities generalize very well known expressions in the context of the the original Drinfel'd-Sokolov KdV hierarchies and the affine Toda field theories.

Moreover, we will illustrate how to use our expressions to calculate the conserved quantities carried by solitons by applying them to the soliton solutions for the constrained KP hierarchy recently constructed by Aratyn *et al.* [23].

6.1 Drinfel'd-Sokolov KdV hierarchies

In [24], Drinfel'd and Sokolov associated a generalized hierarchy of KdV type with each vertex of the Dynkin diagram of an affine Kac-Moody algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$. In the formalism of [18,19], these hierarchies are recovered by considering the data $\{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}_p], \Lambda, \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}^{(j)}\}$, where $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}_p]$ is the principal Heisenberg subalgebra, $\mathbf{s}_p = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, Λ is the constant ‘cyclic’ element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\Lambda = \sum_{j=0}^l e_j^+$, of principal grade 1, and the ‘minimal’ gradation $\mathbf{s}^{(j)}$ is specified by $s_i^{(j)} = \delta_{ij}$. Obviously, $\mathbf{s}^{(j)}$ is associated to the j^{th} -vertex of the Dynkin diagram of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and, in particular, $\mathbf{s}^{(0)} = \mathbf{s}_h$ is the homogeneous gradation.⁶ The cyclic element Λ is regular and, hence, the principal Heisenberg subalgebra can be defined by means of

$$\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}_p] = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda) = \mathbb{C} K + \sum_{j \in I_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} \bmod r h_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^-} \mathbb{C} b_j, \quad (6.1)$$

where $I_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is the set of $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ exponents of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$ (with multiplicities) and $h_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = \sum_{j=0}^l a_j$ is the Coxeter number. Notice that $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq l = \text{rank}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$, and that it is equal only for untwisted affine algebras, *i.e.*, for $r = 1$ [37].

Since $\mathbf{s}^{(j)}$ is always $\preceq \mathbf{s}_p$ with respect to the partial ordering, the function q in (2.7) takes values on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0(\mathbf{s}^{(j)}) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{s}_p)$ and, hence,

$$[d_{\mathbf{s}^{(j)}}, \Lambda + q] = e_j^+. \quad (6.2)$$

This simplifies the form of the invariant conserved densities associated with $\Lambda = b_1$ and given by (3.8) for $j = 1$. In order to ensure that the invariant conserved densities are explicitly gauge invariant, recall that in (3.8) one has to choose the auxiliary gradation $\mathbf{m}$ to be $\preceq \mathbf{s}^{(j)}$ (see below eq. (3.11)). In our case, it will be convenient to choose it such that $m_i = a_j^\vee a_j^{-1} \delta_{ij}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} J^{(1)}[n; \mathbf{m}] &= - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}_p}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} (d_{\mathbf{m}} | [\Phi b_n \Phi^{-1}, \Lambda + q]) \\ &= \frac{h_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}}{n a_j} (e_j^+ | \Phi b_n \Phi^{-1}), \quad n \in I_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} \bmod r h_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}^- > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

⁶ In [24], the principal gradation and the minimal gradation $\mathbf{s}^{(j)}$ are referred to as the ‘canonical gradation’ and the ‘standard gradation’ corresponding to the j^{th} -vertex of the Dynkin diagram, respectively.

where a_j is the (Kac) label of the j^{th} -vertex of the Dynkin diagram of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then, for the class of group theoretical solutions given by (4.3) and (4.6), and according to (4.14), these conserved densities can be written as

$$J^{(1)}[n; \mathbf{m}] = - \frac{h_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}}{n a_j^\vee} \partial_x \partial_n \ln \tau_j^{\text{DS}}(h), \quad (6.4)$$

where we have taken into account that $\overline{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{s}^{(j)}$ and called $\tau_j^{\text{DS}}(h)$ the tau-function corresponding to $|\mathbf{s}^{(j)}\rangle = |v_j\rangle$.

According to the results of Section 3, the conserved densities $J^{(1)}[n; \mathbf{m}]$ are gauge invariant local functionals of q , *i.e.*, gauge invariant differential polynomials in q . The form of the gauge slice has been worked out in [24]. First of all, we have the decomposition

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}^{(j)}) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{s}_p) = [\Lambda, P] \oplus V, \quad (6.5)$$

where $V \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_i(\mathbf{s}_p) = \emptyset$ unless i is an exponent of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, and $P = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}^{(j)}) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{< 0}(\mathbf{s}_p)$ is the subalgebra of generators of gauge transformations (see (2.18)), which satisfies $[\Lambda, P] = [\Lambda - e_j^+, P]$. Therefore, the gauge slice will be of the form

$$q^{\text{GS}} = \sum_{i \in I_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}} u_i \beta_{-i}, \quad (6.6)$$

where $\{\beta_{-i}\}$ is a basis of V whose elements satisfy $(\beta_{-i} | b_i) \neq 0$. Then, if $V \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_n(\mathbf{s}_p) \neq \emptyset$, one can check that

$$J^{(1)}[n; \mathbf{s}^{(j)}] = c_n u_n + \dots, \quad (6.7)$$

where c_n is a non-vanishing constant complex number, and the ellipses indicate differential polynomials in u_j with $j > n$. Thus, this set of invariant conserved densities provide a set of coordinates on the gauge slice. In other words, (6.4) shows that, in this case, the single tau-function $\tau_j^{\text{DS}}(h)$ allows one to reconstruct not only the invariant conserved densities, but all the variables of the gauged-fixed hierarchy. This result is well known and was widely used in the context of the matrix model approach to quantum and topological two-dimensional gravity. There, the tau-function plays the role of a partition function, the times are coupling constants, and the conserved densities are correlation functions [2].

From another point of view, for each n such that $V \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_n(\mathbf{s}_p) \neq \emptyset$, eq. (6.3) with $\Phi \rightarrow \Theta_{\text{DS}}$ can be viewed as the generalization of the set of functions used by Feigin, Frenkel and Enriquez in their approach to the Drinfel'd-Sokolov KdV hierarchies [21], where $J^{(1)}[n; \mathbf{s}^{(j)}]$ are considered as functions taking values on the manifold

$$K_-^\Lambda(\mathbf{s}^{(j)}) \setminus \tilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s}^{(j)}) = K_-^\Lambda(\mathbf{s}^{(j)}) \setminus \tilde{G} / P_{\mathbf{s}^{(j)}}. \quad (6.8)$$

6.2 Non-abelian affine Toda equations

Let us consider a hierarchy of the Toda-mKdV type associated with $\{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}, \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'], \Lambda_+, \Lambda_-\}$ where $\Lambda_{\pm} = b_{\pm 1}$. Then, the zero-curvature condition (2.33) is just the non-abelian affine Toda (NAAT) equation

$$\partial_- (B^{-1} \partial_+ B) = [\Lambda_+, B^{-1} \Lambda_- B]. \quad (6.9)$$

Consider the following decomposition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}')$ (see eq. (A.13)):

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}') = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}'; \mathbf{s}', q) \oplus \mathbb{C} K, \quad (6.10)$$

where the positive integer q is chosen such that $s'_q \neq 0$, and the corresponding decomposition of the Toda field

$$B = B_0 e^{(\nu + x_+ x_-) K}, \quad (6.11)$$

where B_0 takes values in the finite Lie group corresponding to the subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}'; \mathbf{s}', q)$. Then, the NAAT equation becomes⁷

$$\partial_- (B_0^{-1} \partial_+ B_0) = [\Lambda_+, B_0^{-1} \Lambda_- B_0] \Big|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}'; \mathbf{s}', q)}, \quad (6.12)$$

$$\partial_+ \partial_- \nu = \frac{(\Lambda_+ | B_0^{-1} \Lambda_- B_0 - \Lambda_-)}{(\Lambda_+ | \Lambda_-)}. \quad (6.13)$$

As it is well known, eq. (6.12) provides the classical equations-of-motion for

$$S[B_0] = \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[S_{WZW}[B_0] - \int d^2x V(B_0) \right], \quad (6.14)$$

where $S_{WZW}[B_0]$ is the Wess-Zumino-Witten action associated with the finite Lie group corresponding to $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}'; \mathbf{s}', q)$. The potential is given by

$$V(B_0) = \frac{1}{\pi} (\Lambda_+ | B_0^{-1} \Lambda_- B_0 - \Lambda_-), \quad (6.15)$$

and it is assumed that $V(B_0)$ has an absolute minimum at $B_0 = I$, where it obviously vanishes. Since both $\Lambda_{\pm}$ and B_0 take values in a complex algebra and group, respectively, the action (6.14) is a complex functional of the Toda field B_0 . However, additional restrictions on the data can be imposed such that (6.14) gives rise to a unitary theory [35].

⁷ The normalization $[\Lambda_+, \Lambda_-] = K$ is equivalent to $(\Lambda_+ | \Lambda_-) = (d_{\mathbf{s}'} | K) = N_{\mathbf{s}'} / r$.

Restricted to the NAAT equation, and according to the results of [10], the class of solutions obtained in Section 4 solutions corresponds precisely to the non-abelian version of the solitonic specialization originally proposed by Olive *et al.* in the context of the abelian affine Toda (AAT) theory [13,14]. This class of solutions is conjectured to include the multi-soliton solutions, which has been extensively checked for the usual abelian affine Toda theories [11,12,15]. As explained in the introduction, in the abelian affine Toda theories this conjecture is supported by the explicit evaluation of the energy-momentum tensor. It splits into a radiation piece that vanishes for soliton solutions and an improvement [13].

In the non-abelian case, the energy-momentum tensor for the action (6.14) can be computed by standard means and it reads

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\pi\beta^2} \left[\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu B_0 | \partial_\nu B_0^{-1}) - \frac{1}{8} g_{\mu\nu} (\partial_\rho B_0 | \partial^\rho B_0^{-1}) - m^2 g_{\mu\nu} (\Lambda_+ | B_0^{-1} \Lambda_- B_0 - \Lambda_-) \right]. \quad (6.16)$$

In [16], it was shown that $T_{\mu\nu}$ actually splits as follows:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \Theta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\pi\beta^2} \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{r} (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu - g_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \partial^\rho) \nu. \quad (6.17)$$

The first piece, $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$, is the traceless energy-momentum of a generalized non-abelian ‘conformal’ affine Toda (G-CAT) theory associated with the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$, while the second is just an improvement term given by the component of the Toda field along the central element (see eq. (6.11)). Now, following the reasoning of refs. [12,16], suppose that we have a multi-soliton solution that carries finite energy and momentum characterized by the masses of the individual solitons. Then, since the G-CAT theory is conformal invariant and has no mass scale, the contribution of $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ has to vanish and, hence, the value of the energy and momentum carried by the solitons has to be given by the boundary behaviour of ν . This is the analogue of the property used by Olive *et al.* to justify the solitonic specialization, and it is agreed as a definite criterion to characterize the multi-soliton solutions.

The components of the energy-momentum tensor (6.16) can be identified with some of the invariant conserved densities defined in Section 3. In order to do it, let $\Phi_\pm = \exp(y_\pm^1 + y_\pm^2 + \dots)$ and $h_\pm^{\Phi_\pm} = h_\pm^{\Phi_\pm}(\pm 1) = h_\pm^0 + h_\pm^1 + \dots$ in (2.8), where $y_\pm^i$ and $h_\pm^i$ are in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{\mp i}(\mathbf{s}')$. Then, (2.8) provides the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} h_\pm^0 + [y_\pm^1, \Lambda_\pm] &= q_\pm \\ h_\pm^1 + [y_\pm^2, \Lambda_\pm] &= \frac{1}{2} [y_\pm^1, [y_\pm^1, \Lambda_\pm]] - [y_\pm^1, q_\pm] - \partial_\pm y_\pm^1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

where (2.50) implies that $h_{\pm}^0 \in \mathbb{C}K$. They allow one to calculate the invariant conserved densities

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\pm}^{(\pm 1)}[\pm 1; \mathbf{m}] &= (\Lambda_{\pm} | h_{\pm}^{\Phi_{\pm}}) - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_{\pm}(d_{\mathbf{m}} | \Phi_{\pm} \Lambda_{\pm} \Phi_{\pm}^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (q_{\pm} | q_{\pm}) + \partial_{\pm}(d_{\mathbf{s}'} - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{N_{\mathbf{m}}} d_{\mathbf{m}} | q_{\pm} - h_{\pm}^0), \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

where $\mathbf{m}$ is an arbitrary gradation $\preceq \mathbf{s}'$ and $d_{\mathbf{m}}$ the corresponding derivation. Eq. (A.6) shows that the combination $d_{\mathbf{s}'} - \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{N_{\mathbf{m}}} d_{\mathbf{m}}$ does not contain any component along d and, hence, the total derivative piece is a local functional of the non-central components of $q_{\pm}$. This confirms that $J_{\pm}^{(\pm 1)}[\pm 1; \mathbf{m}]$ actually lead to $\mathbf{m}$ -independent conserved charges, as explained below eq. (3.9). The explicit relation between the conserved densities and the energy-momentum tensor requires the choice $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{s}'$; then

$$J_{\pm}^{(\pm 1)}[\pm 1; \mathbf{s}'] = -2\pi\beta^2 T_{\pm\pm}. \quad (6.20)$$

Moreover, using (2.41) and (2.45), it is not difficult to show that

$$J_{+}^{(-1)}[+1; \mathbf{s}'] = J_{-}^{(+1)}[-1; \mathbf{s}'] = +2\pi\beta^2 T_{+-}. \quad (6.21)$$

Let us now consider the specialization of these equations for the class of group theoretical solutions given by (4.18). In this case, eq. (5.6) implies that

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2\pi\beta^2} (\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \partial_{\rho}\partial^{\rho}) \ln \tau_{\mathbf{s}'}^{\mathbb{T}}(h), \quad (6.22)$$

where (see eqs. (6.11), (4.18) and (3.34))

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \tau_{\mathbf{s}'}^{\mathbb{T}}(h) &= \ln \langle v_{\mathbf{s}'} | \Psi^{(\text{vac})} h \Psi^{(\text{vac})^{-1}} | v_{\mathbf{s}'} \rangle \\ &= -\frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{r} (\nu[h] + x_{+}x_{-} - \sum_{j \in E_{\Lambda_{\pm}} > 0} j t_j t_{-j}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

which compared with (6.17), and taking into account that $t_{\pm 1} = x_{\pm}$, shows that $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ actually vanishes for all the solutions given by (4.18).

This result provides additional support to the conjecture that the class of solutions corresponding to the solitonic specialization provide the multi-soliton solutions also for the non-abelian affine Toda equations. However, it is important to recall that not all these solutions are expected to be solitons. In fact, this usually requires to constrain the parameters entering the group element h to ensure that the solution behaves as a soliton, *i.e.*, that it carries finite conserved quantities, etc. In this respect, our results allow one to

deduce these constraints by analysing the properties of a single complex tau-function that encodes all the information about the solution.

Although the precise relationship between the conserved densities $J_{\pm}^{(\pm 1)}[\pm 1, \mathbf{m}]$ and the components of the energy-momentum tensor requires the choice $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{s}'$, it is worth recalling that the conserved quantities are $\mathbf{m}$ -independent; *i.e.*, taking into account (3.19) and (5.6),

$$Q_n^{\pm} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx_{\pm} J_{\pm}^{(\pm 1)}[\pm n, \mathbf{m}] = -\frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_{\pm n} \ln \tau_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{T}}(h) \Big|_{x_{\pm}=-\infty}^{x_{\pm}=+\infty} \quad (6.24)$$

does not depend on $\mathbf{m}$ as far as $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$. A similar result restricted to the context of the abelian affine Toda equation can be found in [38].

6.3 Conserved quantities of constrained KP solitons

So far we have been concerned with the relationship between tau-functions and conserved densities. The purpose of our last example is to illustrate the use of eqs. (4.14) and (5.6) to calculate the conserved quantities carried by multi-soliton configurations.

Consider a generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchy where the function q is defined for $-L < x < L$, and it is either periodic or rapidly decreasing at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ depending on whether $L < \infty$ or $L = \infty$, respectively. Then, the conserved quantities carried by the solutions specified in Section 4.1 can be calculated by means of (3.9) and (4.14):

$$Q_n = \int_{-L}^{+L} dx J^{(i)}[n, \mathbf{m}] = -\frac{N_{\mathbf{s}'}}{n N_{\mathbf{m}}} \partial_n \ln \tau_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{DS}} \Big|_{x=-L}^{x=+L}, \quad (6.25)$$

which is independent of the auxiliary gradation $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$. Moreover, since we impose the constraint (2.22), $\partial_x = \partial_i$ and, hence, $\tau_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{DS}}$ depends on x and t_i only through the combination $x + t_i$. Therefore, (6.25) is equivalent to the following equation

$$\ln \frac{\tau_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{DS}}[\dots, t_i + L, \dots]}{\tau_{\mathbf{m}}^{\text{DS}}[\dots, t_i - L, \dots]} = C_{\mathbf{m}} - \frac{N_{\mathbf{m}}}{N_{\mathbf{s}'}} \sum_{n \in E_{\Lambda} > 0} n t_n Q_n, \quad (6.26)$$

where $C_{\mathbf{m}}$ is a constant whose value usually diverges in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$. It is important to emphasize that, as far as $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$, the constants $C_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $N_{\mathbf{m}}$ are the only quantities that depend on $\mathbf{m}$ on the right-hand-side of (6.26).

To illustrate the use of (6.26), we will calculate the conserved quantities carried by the soliton solutions for the constrained KP (cKP) hierarchy associated with $\widehat{sl}(3)$ (cKP_{2,1} or Yajima-Oikawa hierarchy) recently worked out by Aratyn *et al.* [23]. In the notation of

Section 2, this hierarchy is associated to the untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = A_2^{(1)}$, the Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'] = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } \Lambda)$, where $\Lambda = e_2^+ + e_2^-$ and $\mathbf{s}' = (1, 0, 1)$, and the additional gradation $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}'$. The $\mathbf{s}'$ -grade of Λ is $i = 1$, and $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'] = \mathbb{C}K \oplus \sum_{j \in E_\Lambda} \mathbb{C}b_j$ where $E_\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$.

According to [23], one needs four generalized Hirota tau-functions to reconstruct the Lax operator

$$L = \partial_x - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q & 0 \\ r & U_2 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda & -U_2 \end{pmatrix} - \nu K. \quad (6.27)$$

However, according to our results, the conserved quantities can be obtained by means of a single one associated to any gradation $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$. Since the relevant tau-functions are provided by the authors of [23], we will consider $\mathbf{m}_0 = (1, 0, 0) = \mathbf{s}^{(0)}$ and $\mathbf{m}_2 = (0, 0, 1) = \mathbf{s}^{(2)}$ to illustrate the $\mathbf{m}$ -independence of the result. The relation between their notation and ours is provided by

$$\tau_{\mathbf{m}_\sigma}^{\text{DS}} = \tau_\sigma^{(0)}, \quad \sigma = 0, 2. \quad (6.28)$$

The multi-soliton solutions considered in [23] are given by eq. (4.6) or, equivalently, (4.8), where the constant group element h is the product of exponentials of eigenvectors of the Heisenberg subalgebra generators

$$h = e^{F_1} e^{F_2} \cdots e^{F_n}, \quad [b_n, F_k] = \omega_n^{(k)} F_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6.29)$$

The construction of the F_k 's involves the following Fubini-Veneziano operators

$$Q_1(z) = i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{z^{-(2n+1)}}{2n+1} b_{2n+1}, \quad Q_2(z) = q - i p \ln z + i \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{z^{-2n}}{2n} b_{2n}. \quad (6.30)$$

Then, there are three different eigenvectors corresponding to the three positive roots of $sl(3)$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\pm 1, \sqrt{3}}(z) &= \sqrt{2z^3} : \exp(\pm i Q_1(z) + i \sqrt{3} Q_2(z)) :, \\ E_{-2, 0}(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} : \exp(-2i Q_1(z)) : e^{i\pi p}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.31)$$

We now calculate the value of the conserved quantities carried by the soliton solutions constructed in [23]:

1) $n = 1$ and $F_1 = E_{-2, 0}(z_1)$. This configuration is rapidly decreasing at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ if $\text{Re}(z_1) \neq 0$. The corresponding tau-functions are

$$\tau_\sigma^{(0)} = 1 - \frac{(-1)^{\sigma/2}}{2} e^{-2(x+t_1)z_1 - 2t_3 z_1^3 - \cdots}, \quad \sigma = 0, 2, \quad (6.32)$$

where only the dependence on times t_1 , t_2 and t_3 is exhibited. Then, it is not difficult to calculate

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \ln \frac{\tau_{\sigma}^{(0)}[t_1 + L, t_2, t_3, \dots]}{\tau_{\sigma}^{(0)}[t_1 - L, t_2, t_3, \dots]} = C_{\sigma} + \text{sign}[\text{Re}(z_1)] (2z_1 t_1 + 2z_1^3 t_3 + \dots), \quad (6.33)$$

where

$$C_{\sigma} = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \ln(2^{\pm 1} (-1)^{1+\sigma/2} e^{-2z_1 L}), \quad \text{for } \pm \text{Re}(z_1) > 0 \quad (6.34)$$

is the only σ - or $\mathbf{m}$ -dependent factor. Taking into account (6.26) and (6.33), the first three conserved quantities carried by this soliton configuration are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= -4 \text{sign}[\text{Re}(z_1)] z_1, & Q_2 &= 0, \\ Q_3 &= -\frac{4}{3} \text{sign}[\text{Re}(z_1)] z_1^3. \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

2.a) $n = 2$, $F_1 = E_{-2,0}(z_1)$, and $F_2 = E_{1,\sqrt{3}}(z_2)$. The condition that this configuration is rapidly decreasing at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ requires that $z_1 + z_2 = 0$ and $\text{Re}(z_1) \neq 0$. The tau-functions $\tau_0^{(0)}$ and $\tau_2^{(0)}$ are also given by (6.32). Therefore, this configuration carries the same conserved quantities as the previous ($n = 1$) one.

2.b) $n = 2$, $F_1 = E_{1,\sqrt{3}}(z_1)$, and $F_2 = E_{1,-\sqrt{3}}(z_2)$. This configuration is rapidly decreasing at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ whenever $\text{Re}(z_1)$ and $\text{Re}(z_2)$ are non-vanishing and of equal sign, *i.e.*, whenever $\text{Re}(z_1) \text{Re}(z_2) > 0$. The corresponding tau-functions are

$$\tau_{\sigma}^{(0)} = 1 + \frac{(-1)^{\sigma/2} 2 z_1^{1+\sigma/2} z_2^{2-\sigma/2}}{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 + z_2)^2} e^{[(x+t_1)(z_1+z_2) + \sqrt{3}t_2(z_1^2 - z_2^2) + t_3(z_1^3 + z_2^3) + \dots]}, \quad (6.36)$$

for $\sigma = 0, 2$, which lead to the following conserved quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= -2 \text{sign}[\text{Re}(z_1)] (z_1 + z_2), & Q_2 &= -\sqrt{3} \text{sign}[\text{Re}(z_1)] (z_1^2 - z_2^2), \\ Q_3 &= -\frac{2}{3} \text{sign}[\text{Re}(z_1)] (z_1^3 + z_2^3). \end{aligned} \quad (6.37)$$

Let us mention that this solution belongs to the class of multi-soliton solutions to the cKP hierarchy constructed by Aratyn *et al* in [39]. This class is obtained through the Darboux-Bäcklund method and, hence, the corresponding tau-functions admit a Wronskian determinant representation.

3) $n = 3$, $F_1 = E_{-2,0}(z_1)$, $F_2 = E_{1,\sqrt{3}}(z_2)$, and $F_3 = E_{1,-\sqrt{3}}(z_3)$. This configuration is rapidly decreasing at $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ if $\text{Re}(z_1) \neq 0$ and $\text{Re}(z_2) \text{Re}(z_3) > 0$. The

tau-functions are more complicated than in the previous cases:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_\sigma^{(0)} = & 1 + \frac{(-1)^{\sigma/2}}{2} e^{-2(x+t_1)z_1 - 2t_3 z_1^3 + \dots} \\
& + \frac{(-1)^{\sigma/2} 2 z_2^{1+\sigma/2} z_3^{2-\sigma/2}}{(z_2 - z_3)(z_2 + z_3)^2} e^{[(x+t_1)(z_2+z_3) + \sqrt{3}t_2(z_2^2 - z_3^2) + t_3(z_2^3 + z_3^3) + \dots]} \\
& + \frac{z_2^{1+\sigma/2} z_3^{2-\sigma/2} (z_1 + z_2)(z_1 + z_3)}{(z_2 - z_3)(z_2 + z_3)^2 (z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_3)} \\
& e^{[(x+t_1)(z_2+z_3-2z_1) + \sqrt{3}t_2(z_2^2 - z_3^2) + t_3(z_2^3 + z_3^3 - 2z_1^3) + \dots]} . \tag{6.38}
\end{aligned}$$

However, they also lead to σ -independent conserved charges:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= -4 \operatorname{sign}[\operatorname{Re}(z_1)] z_1 - 2 \operatorname{sign}[\operatorname{Re}(z_2)] (z_2 + z_3) , \\
Q_2 &= -\sqrt{3} \operatorname{sign}[\operatorname{Re}(z_2)] (z_2^2 - z_3^2) , \\
Q_3 &= -\frac{4}{3} \operatorname{sign}[\operatorname{Re}(z_1)] z_1^3 - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{sign}[\operatorname{Re}(z_2)] (z_2^3 + z_3^3) . \tag{6.39}
\end{aligned}$$

A detailed analysis of these soliton configurations is beyond the scope of this article. However, it is worth noticing that the calculation of the conserved quantities they carry suggests that the three solutions with $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ describe single solitons, while the last one with $n = 3$ is a two-soliton solution. This contrasts with the interpretation proposed in [23], where n is naively identified with the number of solitons. The correspondence between the Wronskian determinant representation of [39], when it is available, and our tau-functions also deserves further understanding.

7. Conclusions

For a large class of integrable hierarchies, we have shown that all the information required to calculate their local conserved densities is provided by a single (complex) scalar tau-function. We give explicit expressions for calculating both the conserved densities and charges in terms of the second order partial derivatives of the tau-function and its asymptotic behaviour.

The class where our results apply comprises two different types of hierarchies associated with affine (twisted or untwisted) Kac-Moody algebras. The first corresponds to the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies constructed in [18,19,20]. The second is a new non-abelian generalization of the usual two-dimensional affine Toda lattice hierarchy,

which is constructed by coupling two different generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov mKdV hierarchies. The tau-function formalism for most of these hierarchies can be found in [20,10], where a direct relation between the variables of the zero-curvature formalism and the tau-functions is established. This relation exhibits that, in general, more than one tau-function is required, which is already the case for the usual mKdV, NLS and affine Toda lattice hierarchies, while KP and KdV constitute exceptions from this point of view. In contrast, our results show that, in the whole class of hierarchies, a single tau-function is enough to reconstruct all the (local) conserved densities.

According to [7,8,9,20,10], the tau-function formalism concerns a particular class of solutions specified by the elements of an infinite dimensional manifold associated with a Kac-Moody group. Our results assume the restriction to these 'group theoretical' solutions. For the affine Toda equation, this class coincides with the, so called, solitonic specialization originally proposed by Olive *et al.* [13,14]. In the general case, the relevant class of solutions is provided by the orbit of the group of dressing transformations, in the manner described by Wilson [8], acting on the 'vacuum solutions' of the hierarchy [20,10]. In the particular case of the generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies of [18,19,20], we have also shown that, once restricted, they fit nicely in the approach of Feigin, Frenkel and Enriquez to soliton equations of KdV and mKdV type [21].

In the context of particle physics, there are two main motivations lying behind this work. The first one comes from the increasing number of, even four dimensional, quantum systems whose effective action turns out to be the tau-function of some classical integrable system [1,2,3]. Then, among the many tau-functions required to reconstruct the variables of the zero-curvature formalism, the single one that provides the local conserved densities can be viewed as the logical candidate to describe the effective action of some quantum system where the conserved densities would be correlation functions.

The second motivation comes from the construction of soliton and multi-soliton solutions to the equations of these hierarchies. Then the main result is that all the information about the conserved charges carried by them (*e.g.*, their energy and momentum) turns out to be encoded in a single (complex) scalar tau-function. In the context of the abelian affine Toda equations, this is a generalization to all the conserved quantities of the well known properties of the energy-momentum tensor, which originally led to the solitonic specialization of its general solution [13,14]. However, our results apply not only to this case but to a wider class of integrable equations including some whose soliton solutions have recently deserved attention.

In particular, it includes multi-component generalizations of the constrained KP (cKP)

hierarchy [25,26], whose soliton solutions have been investigated in [39] using the Darboux-Bäcklund method and, more recently, in [23] using tau-functions. We have applied our results to calculate the conserved charges carried by the cKP solitons constructed in the latter reference, and we expect that they will be useful to clarify the relationship between them and those of [39].

The class of integrable equations also includes the non-abelian generalizations of the affine Toda equations corresponding to the equations-of-motion of the Symmetric Space (SSSG) and Homogeneous (HSG) sine-Gordon theories constructed in [35]. These theories describe massive integrable perturbations of conformal field theories given by a (gauged) Wess-Zumino-Witten action, and their spectrum always contains solitons. In particular, the HSG theories are quantum integrable perturbations of parafermionic theories [40] whose spectrum is entirely given by solitons [41]. Our results considerably simplify the study of the conserved charges carried by these solitons, which is relevant towards the overall aim of finding the factorizable S-matrix of these theories.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank H. Aratyn, L.A. Ferreira, J.O. Madsen and J. Sánchez Guillén for many useful comments. I also thank C.R. Fernández Pousa for his early collaboration on this work. This research is supported partially by CICYT (AEN96-1673), DGICYT (PB96-0960), and the EC Commission via a TMR Grant (FMRX-CT96-0012).

Appendix

In this appendix we summarize some of the details of affine Kac-Moody algebras which are used along the article. In order to fix the notation, we will follow the conventions of [37] where a complete treatment of these algebras may be found (see also [42], and references therein).

An affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A)$ of rank l is defined by a generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ of order $l + 1$ (and rank l), and is generated by $\{h_i, e_i^\pm, i = 0, \dots, l\}$ and

d subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [h_i, h_j] &= 0, & [h_i, e_j^\pm] &= \pm a_{ij} e_j^\pm, \\ [e_i^+, e_j^-] &= \delta_{ij} h_i, & (\text{ad } e_i^\pm)^{1-a_{ij}} (e_j^\pm) &= 0, \\ [d, h_i] &= 0, & [d, e_i^\pm] &= \pm \delta_{i,0} e_0^\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The elements $\{h_0, \dots, h_l, d\}$ span the Cartan subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. The elements $e_i^\pm$ generate the maximal nilpotent subalgebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_\pm$, respectively, and are called the Chevalley generators of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. They also generate the derived subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}' = [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}]$, and we have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}' \oplus \mathbb{C} d = \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_- \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_+; \quad (\text{A.2})$$

correspondingly, $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}' \oplus \mathbb{C} d$. The different generalized Cartan matrices of affine type and, hence, the different affine Kac-Moody algebras, are usually specified in the form $\mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex simple finite Lie algebra and $r = 1, 2$, or 3 is the order of an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$. The generalized Cartan matrix A have unique right and left null eigenvectors whose components are positive relatively prime integers known as the Kac labels (a_i) and the dual Kac labels $(a_i^\vee)$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$\sum_{i=0}^l a_i^\vee a_{ij} = \sum_{i=0}^l a_{ji} a_i = 0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

In all cases $a_0^\vee = a_\epsilon = 1$, where $\epsilon = l$ if $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = A_{2l}^{(2)}$ and 0 otherwise. Consequently, the algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ has a one-dimensional centre $\mathbb{C} K$ generated by the central element $K = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i^\vee h_i$. An important property of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is that it has an invariant symmetric non-degenerate bi-linear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$, which will be normalized such that

$$\begin{aligned} (e_i^+ | e_j^-) &= a_i a_i^{\vee-1} \delta_{ij}, & (h_i | h_j) &= a_j a_j^{\vee-1} a_{ij}, \\ (d | h_i) &= a_0 \delta_{i0}, & (d | K) &= a_0, & (d | d) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

A.1 Gradations

The different integer gradations of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ are labelled by sets $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_l)$ of non-negative integers. The corresponding gradation is induced by a derivation $d_{\mathbf{s}}$ specified by

$$[d_{\mathbf{s}}, e_i^\pm] = \pm s_i e_i^\pm \quad \text{and} \quad [d_{\mathbf{s}}, h_i] = [d_{\mathbf{s}}, d] = 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

In particular, the derivation d corresponds to the, so called, ‘homogeneous’ gradation $\mathbf{s}_h = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Let us denote by $\overset{\circ}{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ generated by the $e_i^\pm$ with $i \neq 0$. Then, the derivation $d_{\mathbf{s}}$ is just the following element of the Cartan subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$:

$$d_{\mathbf{s}} = \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}}}{r} \left[\frac{1}{a_0} d + u_{\mathbf{s}} - \frac{1}{2} (u_{\mathbf{s}} | u_{\mathbf{s}}) K \right], \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where

$$N_{\mathbf{s}} = r \sum_{i=0}^l a_i s_i, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and $u_{\mathbf{s}}$ is the unique element in $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $(u_{\mathbf{s}} | h_i) = r N_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1} a_i a_i^{\vee-1} s_i$ for all $i \neq 0$. This derivation satisfies

$$(d_{\mathbf{s}} | d_{\mathbf{s}}) = 0, \quad (d_{\mathbf{s}} | K) = \frac{N_{\mathbf{s}}}{r}, \quad \text{and} \quad (d_{\mathbf{s}} | h_i) = a_i a_i^{\vee-1} s_i \quad (\text{A.8})$$

for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, l$. Under the gradation $\mathbf{s}$, the derived subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ has the eigenspace decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}'_i(\mathbf{s}), \quad [\mathfrak{g}'_i(\mathbf{s}), \mathfrak{g}'_j(\mathbf{s})] \subset \mathfrak{g}'_{i+j}(\mathbf{s}). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

We shall often use the notation like $\mathfrak{g}'_{>k}(\mathbf{s}) = \bigoplus_{i>k} \mathfrak{g}'_i(\mathbf{s})$, and denote by $P_{>k[\mathbf{s}]}$ the projector onto $\mathfrak{g}'_{>k}(\mathbf{s})$. Then, for any gradation $\mathbf{s}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_+, \quad \mathfrak{g}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_-, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}' \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'_0(\mathbf{s}), \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where the equality corresponds to the, so called, ‘principal’ gradation $\mathbf{s}_p = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ or, in general, to any gradation $\mathbf{s}$ such that $s_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, l$.

There exists a partial ordering on the set of gradations such that $\mathbf{s} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$ if $s'_i \neq 0$ whenever $s_i \neq 0$, which implies that

$$\mathfrak{g}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}'), \quad \mathfrak{g}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}'),$$

$$\mathfrak{g}'_0(\mathbf{s}') \subseteq \mathfrak{g}'_0(\mathbf{s}), \quad \mathfrak{g}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}') \subset \mathfrak{g}'_{\geq 0}(\mathbf{s}), \quad \mathfrak{g}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}') \subset \mathfrak{g}'_{\leq 0}(\mathbf{s}). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Moreover, we will indicate by $\mathbf{s} \prec \mathbf{s}'$ the case when $\mathbf{s} \preceq \mathbf{s}'$ but $\mathbf{s}' \not\preceq \mathbf{s}$, and by $\mathbf{s} \simeq \mathbf{s}'$ when $s'_i = 0$ if, and only if, $s_i = 0$. In the latter case, the following identities are satisfied: $\mathfrak{g}'_0(\mathbf{s}) = \mathfrak{g}'_0(\mathbf{s}')$, $\mathfrak{g}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}) = \mathfrak{g}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}')$, and $\mathfrak{g}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}) = \mathfrak{g}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}')$.

There is a simple algorithm for determining the ‘horizontal subalgebra’ $\mathfrak{g}'_0(\mathbf{s})$. Let $i_1, \dots, i_p$ be all the indices for which $s_{i_1} = \dots = s_{i_p} = 0$. Then, it is the direct sum of the $(l - p)$ -dimensional centre and a semisimple Lie algebra whose Dynkin diagram is the subdiagram of the of the (affine) Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$ consisting of the vertices $i_1, \dots, i_p$.

$\mathfrak{g}'_0(\mathbf{s})$ always contains the centre of $\mathfrak{g}$ and, when needed, we will project it out by means of the following procedure. Consider an auxiliary gradation $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}$, choose an integer number q such that $m_q \neq 0$, and construct the subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'_0(\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{m}, q)$ generated by the $e_i^{\pm}$ with $s_i = 0$, and the

$$\tilde{h}_j = h_j - \frac{r}{N_{\mathbf{m}}} a_j a_j^{\vee-1} m_j K \quad (\text{A.12})$$

for all $j \neq q$. Then, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s})$ can be decomposed as

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}) = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{m}, q) \oplus \mathbb{C} K , \quad (\text{A.13})$$

and $(d_{\mathbf{m}} | \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{m}, q)) = 0$. Notice that $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{m}, q) \simeq \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s})/\mathbb{C}K$ for any choice of $\mathbf{m}$ and q . Moreover, the restriction of the bi-linear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$ to the finite Lie algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{m}, q)$ is non-degenerate.

The different gradations of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$ are in one-to-one relation with the finite order automorphisms σ of the underlying finite Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ fulfilling the condition that r is the least positive integer such that σ^r is inner. Up to conjugation, they can also be labelled by sets $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_l)$ of non-negative integers, $\sigma = \sigma_{\mathbf{s};r}$, where $l = \text{rank}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then, there exists a set of generators of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\{E_i, i = 0, \dots, l\}$, such that

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{s};r}(E_j) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N_{\mathbf{s}}} s_j} E_j , \quad (\text{A.14})$$

which shows that $\sigma_{\mathbf{s};r}$ is of order $N_{\mathbf{s}}$. Notice that $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq l = \text{rank}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}})$, and that it is equal only for $r = 1$ [37]. The automorphism $\sigma_{\mathbf{s};r}$ leads to a realization of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by means of a centrally extended loop algebra where the gradation $\mathbf{s}$ is built-in. To spell this out, let us recall that $\sigma_{\mathbf{s};r}$ provides a $\mathbb{Z}/N_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation of $\mathfrak{g}$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/N_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_i , \quad (\text{A.15})$$

and associate a subalgebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma_{\mathbf{s};r})$ of the loop algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ to the automorphism $\sigma_{\mathbf{s};r}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma_{\mathbf{s};r}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} t^i \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{i \bmod N_{\mathbf{s}}} . \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Then, the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$ is isomorphic to the central extension of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma_{\mathbf{s};r})$ plus a derivation $d_{\mathbf{s}}$ acting on $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma_{\mathbf{s};r})$ as $t d/dt$:

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(r)} \simeq \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma_{\mathbf{s};r}) \oplus \mathbb{C} K \oplus \mathbb{C} d_{\mathbf{s}} . \quad (\text{A.17})$$

A.2 Heisenberg subalgebras

A central role in our construction is played by the Heisenberg subalgebras of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. They can be defined as follows [43]. Let $\sigma_{\mathbf{s}';r}$ be a finite order automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ that fixes a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, which means that $\sigma_{\mathbf{s}';r}$ is conjugated to a Dynkin diagram automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ or order r . Consider the realization of $\mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$ by means of the central

extension of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma_{\mathbf{s}'; r})$ and the relation between the infinite algebra $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$ and the finite algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ given by the ‘covering homomorphism’ $\varphi_\sigma : \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma) \mapsto \mathfrak{g}$ defined by $t \mapsto 1$. Then, the subspace

$$\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'] = \varphi_{\sigma_{\mathbf{s}'; r}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{h}) \oplus \mathbb{C} K \quad (\text{A.18})$$

is a Heisenberg subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{(r)}$. In other words, $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ is the pull-back of $\mathfrak{h}$ to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'$. Notice that, by construction, $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ is graded with respect to $\mathbf{s}'$ and, hence, it is possible to choose a basis such that $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}'] = \mathbb{C} K \oplus \sum_{i \in E} \mathbb{C} b_i$, where $b_i \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_i(\mathbf{s}')$, $E = I \bmod N_{\mathbf{s}'}$, and I is a set of $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})$ integers ≥ 0 and $< N_{\mathbf{s}'}$ (with multiplicities). The algebra is

$$[b_j, b_k] = j \delta_{j+k, 0} K, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

where we have normalized the b_i ’s by means of $(b_i | b_j) = (N_{\mathbf{s}'}/r) \delta_{i+j, 0}$. Notice that, properly speaking, only the b_i with $i \neq 0$ span a Heisenberg algebra, although we will apply this name to the whole $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']/\mathbb{C}K$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'/\mathbb{C}K$

Heisenberg subalgebras are central to our construction because any $b \in \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ is ‘semisimple’, which means that we have the decomposition

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}' = \text{Ker}(\text{ad } b) + \text{Im}(\text{ad } b), \quad \text{Ker}(\text{ad } b) \cap \text{Im}(\text{ad } b) = \mathbb{C} K. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Moreover, if b has definite $\mathbf{s}'$ -grade then both $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } b)$ and $\text{Im}(\text{ad } b)$ are graded subspaces with respect to $\mathbf{s}'$. In general, $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } b)$ is a non-abelian subalgebra of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'$ that always contains $\mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ and satisfies

$$[\text{Ker}(\text{ad } b), \text{Im}(\text{ad } b)] \subset \text{Im}(\text{ad } b). \quad (\text{A.21})$$

However, $\text{Ker}(\text{ad } b) \neq \mathcal{H}[\mathbf{s}']$ unless b is ‘regular’.

A.3 Integrable representations

The definition of integrable representations makes use of the following property. An element $x \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is said to be ‘locally nilpotent’ on a given representation if for any vector $|v\rangle$ there exists a positive integer n_v such that $x^{n_v}|v\rangle = 0$. Then, an integrable highest-weight representation of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a highest-weight representation where the Chevalley generators are locally nilpotent. It can be proven that these representations are irreducible and that they have a unique highest-weight vector $|v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle$, which can be labelled by a gradation $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots, s_l)$ such that

$$e_i^+ |v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle = (e_i^-)^{s_i+1} |v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle = 0, \quad (e_i^-)^{s_i} |v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad h_i |v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle = s_i |v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle, \quad (\text{A.22})$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, l$; the corresponding representation will be indicated by $L(\mathbf{s})$. Notice that $d_{\mathbf{s}}$ can be diagonalized on $L(\mathbf{s})$, but its eigenvalue acting on $|v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle$ is arbitrary. The eigenvalue of the central element K on $L(\mathbf{s})$ is known as the level $K_{\mathbf{s}}$ of the representation:

$$K |v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i^{\vee} h_i |v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle = \left(\sum_{i=0}^l a_i^{\vee} s_i \right) |v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle \quad (\text{A.23})$$

and, hence, $K_{\mathbf{s}} = \sum_{i=0}^l a_i^{\vee} s_i$ is a positive integer. On $L(\mathbf{s})$ there is a notion of orthogonality by means of a (unique) positive definite Hermitian form F such that $F(|v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle, |v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle) = \langle v_{\mathbf{s}} | v_{\mathbf{s}} \rangle = 1$. We will use the notation $|v_i\rangle$ for the highest-weight vector of the ‘fundamental’ representation $L(i)$ where $s_j = \delta_{j,i}$. In terms of these fundamental highest-weight vectors, $|v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle$ can be decomposed as

$$|v_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle = \bigotimes_{i=0}^r \{ |v_i\rangle^{\otimes s_i} \}. \quad (\text{A.24})$$

In Section 4 we make use of the following two properties. The first one is the identity

$$(d_{\mathbf{m}} | x) = \langle v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} | x | v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \rangle, \quad (\text{A.25})$$

where $|v_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}}\rangle$ is the highest weight vector of the representation $L(\overline{\mathbf{m}})$ specified by

$$\overline{m}_i = (d_{\mathbf{m}} | h_i) = a_i a_i^{\vee -1} m_i. \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Obviously, $\mathbf{m} \simeq \overline{\mathbf{m}}$ with respect to the partial ordering, and the level of $L(\overline{\mathbf{m}})$ is $K_{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} = N_{\mathbf{m}}/r$. The second property is that, for any two gradations $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{s}$, the highest-weight vector of $L(\mathbf{m})$ is always annihilated by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s})$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{m} \preceq \mathbf{s}$, the highest weight vector $|v_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle$ is an eigenvector of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s})$ with eigenvalues

$$h_i |v_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle = m_i |v_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad e_j^- |v_{\mathbf{m}}\rangle = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad s_j = 0. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

$L(\mathbf{s})$ can be ‘integrated’ to a representation of the Kac-Moody group $\widetilde{G}$, which is then generated by the exponentials of the generators of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ [37,43,44]. We will denote by $\widetilde{G}_+(\mathbf{s})$, $\widetilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s})$, and $\widetilde{G}_0(\mathbf{s})$ the subgroups corresponding to the subalgebras $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s})$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s})$, and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s})$, respectively. An important property of $\widetilde{G}$ is that, although $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'$ has the obvious direct sum decomposition

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}' = \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{<0}(\mathbf{s}) \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_0(\mathbf{s}) \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}'_{>0}(\mathbf{s}), \quad (\text{A.28})$$

it is not true that $\widetilde{G}$ decomposes as the product $\widetilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s}) \widetilde{G}_0(\mathbf{s}) \widetilde{G}_+(\mathbf{s})$, *i.e.*, in general, the elements of the group $\widetilde{G}$ do not admit a generalized ‘Gauss decomposition’. However, $\widetilde{G}_-(\mathbf{s}) \widetilde{G}_0(\mathbf{s}) \widetilde{G}_+(\mathbf{s})$ forms a dense open subset of $\widetilde{G}$ called the ‘big cell’ [8]. Then, if g belongs to the big cell, we shall write $g = g_- g_0 g_+$ for its unique factorization with $g_{\pm} \in \widetilde{G}_{\pm}(\mathbf{s})$ and $g_0 \in \widetilde{G}_0(\mathbf{s})$.

References

- [1] S. Saito, Phys. Rev. **D 36** (1987) 1819; Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987) 1798;
D. Bernard and A. Le Clair, Nucl. Phys. **B 426** (1994) 534; ERRATUM ibid. **B 498**
(1997) 619;
O. Babelon, D. Bernard and F.A. Smirnov, Commun. Math. Phys. **182** (1996) 319;
T. Kojima, V. Korepin and N. Slavnov, Commun. Math. Phys. **189** (1997) 709;
A. Mironov, *τ -function within group theory approach and its quantization*,
q-alg/9711006.
- [2] M.R. Douglas, Phys. Lett. **B 238** (1990) 176;
E. Witten, Diff. Geom. **1** (1991) 243;
M. Fukuma, H. Kawai and R. Nakayama, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 6** (1991) 1385;
Commun. Math. Phys. **143** (1992) 371;
R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B 438** (1991) 435;
V. Kac and A. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **B 257** (1991) 329;
M. Kontsevich, Commun. Math. Phys. **147** (1992) 1.
- [3] T. Nakatsu and K. Takasaki, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 11** (1996) 157; *Integrable system
and $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, hep-th/9603129;
A. Gorsky, I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Phys. Lett. **B**
355 (1995) 466.
- [4] L.A. Dickey, *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*, Advanced Series in Math.
Phys. Vol. 12 (World Scientific, 1991).
- [5] R. Hirota, *Direct methods in soliton theory*, in ‘*Soliton*’ (R.K. Bullough and P.S. Cau-
drey, eds.), (Springer-Verlag, 1980) 157.
- [6] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **19** (1983) 943;
E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, and T. Miwa, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **18** (1982)
1077.
- [7] G. Segal and G. Wilson, *Loop groups and equations of KdV type*, Publ. Math. IHES
63 (1985) 1.
- [8] G. Wilson, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. **A 315** (1985) 383; *Habillage et fonctions τ*
C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris **299 (I)** (1984) 587; *The τ -Functions of the gAKNS Equations*,
in ‘*Verdier memorial conference on integrable systems*’ (O. Babelon, P. Cartier, and
Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, eds.), Birkhauser (1993) 131.
- [9] V.G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Exceptional hierarchies of soliton equations*, in ‘*Proceed-
ings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*’, Vol. **49** (1989) 191.
- [10] L.A. Ferreira, J.L. Miramontes, and J. Sánchez Guillén, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997)
882.
- [11] T.J. Hollowood, Nucl. Phys. **B 384** (1992) 523;
N. McKay and W.A. McGhee, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 8** (1993) 2791; Erratum ibid.
A8 (1993) 3830;
Z. Zhu and D.G. Caldi, Nucl. Phys. **B 436** (1995) 659.
- [12] H. Aratyn, C.P. Constantinidis, L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes, and A.H. Zimerman, Nucl.

- Phys. **B 406** (1993) 727.
- [13] D. Olive, N. Turok and J.W.R. Underwood, Nucl. Phys. **B 401** (1993) 663.
 - [14] D. Olive, M.V. Saveliev and J.W.R. Underwood, Phys. Lett. **B 311** (1993) 117;
D. Olive, N. Turok and J.W.R. Underwood, Nucl. Phys. **B 409** (1993) 509.
 - [15] O. Babelon and D. Bernard, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 8** (1993) 507;
H. Belich and R. Paunov, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 4108;
H. Belich, G. Cuba and R. Paunov, *Vertex Operator Representation of the Soliton Tau Functions in the $A_n^{(1)}$ Toda Models by Dressing Transformations*, hep-th/9712249;
E.J. Beggs and P.R. Johnson, Nucl. Phys. **B 484** (1997) 653; *Inverse scattering and solitons in A_{n-1} affine Toda field theories II*, hep-th/9803248.
 - [16] L.A. Ferreira, J.L. Miramontes and J. Sánchez Guillén, Nucl. Phys. **B 449** (1995) 631.
 - [17] M. Mariño and G. Moore, *The Donaldson-Witten function for gauge groups of rank larger than one*, hep-th/9802185;
K. Takasaki, *Integrable Hierarchies and Contact Terms in u-plane Integrals of Topologically Twisted Supersymmetric Gauge Theories*, hep-th/9803217.
 - [18] M.F. de Groot, T.J. Hollowood, and J.L. Miramontes, Commun. Math. Phys. **145** (1992) 57.
 - [19] N.J. Burroughs, M.F. de Groot, T.J. Hollowood, and J.L. Miramontes, Commun. Math. Phys. **153** (1993) 187; Phys. Lett. **B 277** (1992) 89.
 - [20] T.J. Hollowood and J.L. Miramontes, Commun. Math. Phys. **157** (1993) 99.
 - [21] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Integrals of motion and Quantum Groups*, in Lect. Notes in Math. **1620**, Springer Verlag (1995) 349; Invent. Math. **120** (1995) 379;
B. Enriquez and E. Frenkel, Commun. Math. Phys. **185** (1997) 211;
E. Frenkel, *Five lectures on soliton equations*, q-alg/9712005.
 - [22] A. Chodos, Phys. Rev. **D 21** (1980) 2818.
 - [23] H. Aratyn, L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimmerman, *Solitons from Dressing in an Algebraic Approach to the Constrained KP Hierarchy*, hep-th/9709004; *Vertex Operators and Solitons of Constrained KP Hierarchies*, in proceedings of ‘Integrable Models and Supersymmetry’.
 - [24] V.G. Drinfel’d and V.V. Sokolov, J. Sov. Math. **30** (1985) 1975.
 - [25] L. Fehér, J. Harnad and I. Marshall, Commun. Math. Phys. **154** (1993) 181;
L. Fehér and I. Marshall, Commun. Math. Phys. **183** (1997) 423.
 - [26] H. Aratyn, L.A. Ferreira, J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimerman, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 1559.
 - [27] F. Delduc, L. Fehér and L. Gallot, *Nonstandard Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction*, solv-int/9708002.
 - [28] N.J. Burroughs, Nonlinearity **6** (1993) 583; Nucl. Phys. **B379** (1992) 340;
L. Fehér, *KdV type systems and W-algebras in the Drinfeld-Sokolov approach*, hep-th/9510001.
 - [29] K. Ueno and K. Takasaki, Adv. Stud. in Pure Maths. **4** (1984) 1;
D. Olive and N. Turok, Nucl. Phys. **B 257** (1985) 277; Nucl. Phys. **B 265** (1986) 469;
T. Takebe, Commun. Math. Phys. **129** (1990) 281.

- [30] G. Wilson, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* **1** (1981) 361.
- [31] C.R. Fernández-Pousa, M.V. Gallas, J.L. Miramontes and J. Sánchez Guillén, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **243** (1995) 372.
- [32] M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Invent. Math.* **54** (1979) 261;
A.V. Mikhailov, M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **79** (1981) 473.
- [33] A.N. Leznov and M.V. Saveliev, *Group Theoretical Methods for Integration of Non-Linear Dynamical Systems*, Progress in Physics Series, v. 15, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [34] L.A. Ferreira, J-L. Gervais, J. Sánchez Guillén and M.V. Saveliev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 470** (1996) 236.
- [35] C.R. Fernández-Pousa, M.V. Gallas, T.J. Hollowood, and J.L. Miramontes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 484** (1997) 609.
- [36] M. Freeman, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 433** (1995) 657.
- [37] V.G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras* (3rd ed.), Cambridge University Press (1990).
- [38] M.R. Niedermaier, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **160** (1994) 391.
- [39] H. Aratyn, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Phys. Lett.* **A 201** (1995) 293; *Phys. Lett.* **A 228** (1997) 164.
- [40] C.R. Fernández-Pousa, M.V. Gallas, T.J. Hollowood, and J.L. Miramontes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 499** (1997) 673.
- [41] C.R. Fernández-Pousa and J.L. Miramontes, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 518** (1998) 745.
- [42] P. Goddard and D. Olive, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A 1** (1986) 303;
J. Fuchs, *Affine Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press (1992).
- [43] V.G. Kac and D.H. Peterson, *112 constructions of the basic representation of the loop group of E_8* , in ‘*Symposium on Anomalies, Geometry and Topology*’ (W.A. Bardeen and A.R. White, eds.), World Scientific (1985) 276.
- [44] D.H. Peterson and V.G. Kac, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **80** (1983) 1778;
V.G. Kac, *Constructing groups associated to infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, in ‘*Infinite dimensional groups with applications*’ (V.G. Kac, ed.), Berkeley MSRI pub., Springer Verlag (1985) 167.